

Identification and risk elimination of mycotoxins.

Emtenan M. Hanafi¹, Enas N Danial², Seham S Kasem,³ Moetazza M Alshafei³ & Walaa H. Khalifa⁴

¹ Department of Animal Reproduction and A. L., Veterinary Research Institute, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

² Department of Chemistry of Natural and Microbial Product, Pharmacology Institute, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

³ Department of Nutrition and Food Science, Food Industry and Nutrition Institute, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

⁴ Department of Animal Production, Agriculture and Biological Research Institute. National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Background & Purpose:

To date, 50–80% of food contaminated with mycotoxins. More than 500 type of mycotoxins have been identified, produced by different types of fungi causing financial losses to agriculture and industry. The most hazardous mycotoxins for public health include aflatoxins (AF), fumonisin (FUM), ochratoxins (OTA), zearalenone (ZEN), and deoxynivalenol (DON) mainly produced by *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* species. Mycotoxin contamination may occur in ripening cereals and other agricultural commodities before harvest and consequently contaminate livestock feed. Mycotoxins may also be found in animal source food like milk, eggs, cheese and meat. Several types of control measures were taken using physical, chemical and biological methods. This article reviews the incidence of mycotoxicosis in food chain in Egypt and the mechanisms of *in vitro* detoxification of food and animal feed products.

Key words: Mycotoxin- Incidence- detoxification- Egypt

INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are produced by several types of molds under specific conditions of high humidity, high temperature and poor agricultural practices, or contaminated and damaged crops [1,2]. Mycotoxins are largely lipophilic so they have the ability to become part of animal products such as milk which contains AFLM1 and can cause serious health problems [3]. Currently, more than 500 species of mycotoxins were identified and about 1000 types have yet to be discovered and under research [4].

The main types of mycotoxins contaminating animal feedstuffs are Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), zearalenone (ZEN), deoxynivalenol (DON) and fumonisin B1 (FB1). They contaminate crops (like wheat, corn, barley, peanuts, peas, nuts) and forage [5]. The toxicity symptoms varies according to the type of mycotoxin (Table 1). AFB1 is the most toxic one which is produced by *Aspergillus* and known as the most carcinogenic one [6]. It causes hepatotoxicity, immunotoxicity, mutagenicity, carcinogenicity and teratogenicity for many animal species [7–9]. Other types of mycotoxins like DON, ZEN and FB1 are mainly produced by *Fusarium* molds [5,10]. DON can induce anorexia, vomiting, and disturb intestinal and immune functions in different animals. It inhibits the synthesis of nucleic acids and proteins [11,12]. ZEN causes infertility and reproductive disorders in livestock because it has a similar structure to 17 β -estradiol and competes for estrogen receptor binding [13–15]. FB1 (fumonisin) can cause toxicity to both liver and kidney. It also causes neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity and cancer in humans and animals [16].

It was recorded that about 25% of the world's cereals are contaminated with mycotoxins and consequently in processed food made from the contaminated materials, and they can also occur in milk, meat, and eggs when animals ingest these mycotoxins [22]. This review was for screening the incidence of mycotoxin in crops, meat and dairy products, and the applied methods for control.

Corresponding author emails: walaahusseini10@gmail.com (Walaa H. Khalifa)

Enas_mahdy@yahoo.com (Enas N Danial)

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/SJCMS2026.1.1.25> **Saudi J. Chem. Mater. Sci., 1 (1), 36-51 (2026)**

Received Date: 14 July 2026. Revised date: 08 August 2026. Accept Date: 17 August 2026

Table 1: Molds, mycotoxins, toxicity symptoms.

Mold	Mycotoxin product	Toxicity symptoms	Reference
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> <i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i>	Aflatoxin AFB1, AFB2	Reduced feed intake, Reduced milk yield, Immunosuppression et al., 2022 Reproductive effects Hepatotoxicity, Nephrotoxicity and Gastroenteritis	[6, 7, 3, 17]
<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i> , <i>Fusarium nyagamai</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> ,	DON (Deoxy- nevalenol)	Reduced feed intake, Reduced milk yield, carcinogenic	[18, 11, 12]
<i>Fusarium Verticillioides</i> , <i>Fusarium Moniliform</i> , <i>Fusarium Proliferatum</i>	FB1 (Fumonisins)	Reduced feed intake, Reduced milk yield, Hepatotoxicity, Nephrotoxicity	[19, 16]
<i>Aspergillus westerdijkiae</i> <i>Penicillium nordicum</i> <i>Penicillium verrucosum</i>	OTA (Ochratoxin)	Reduced feed intake, Reduced milk yield and Gastroenteritis	[20, 1, 2]
<i>Fusarium graminearum</i>	ZEN (zeralenone)	Reduced feed intake, Reduced milk yield, Reproductive effects and Gastroenteritis	[21, 15, 13, 14]

2. INCIDENCE OF MYCOTOXICOSIS:

2.1. Crops

2.1.1. Wheat

Wheat is the most consumable food grain worldwide. It is contaminated with several mycotoxins (Table 2) including aflatoxins (AFs), ochratoxin A (OTA), and Fusarium toxins [23]. Research study in Egypt, detected AFB1 in wheat grain samples in different governorates (table 2) recording the highest concentration (35.21 to 49.79 µg/kg) in Giza. Also AFG1 recorded the highest level (41.38 and 30.41) in Giza and El Fayoum, respectively [24] while, it should not exceed 4 µg/kg according to the European Commission [25] and Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality Control [26]. It was reported that these wheat samples were unfit for human consumption.

Table 2: Concentration of mycotoxins in wheat in some governorates of Egypt.

Governorate	District	Mycotoxin concentration (ug/kg)				
		AFB1	AFB2	AFG1	AFG2	OTA
Cairo	Helwan	0.19	0.09	9.98	7.12	limited
	Almaadi	0.13	Limited	4.16	4.87	limited
	Elshorug	limited	0.09	4.10	0.23	1.37
Aelxandria	Al Manshieh	limited	Limited	16.29	3.13	limited
	Alajami	limited	1.47	16.37	3.17	limited
	Abukir	limited	0.12	12.05	8.14	limited
Giza	Alomraniyah	35.2	1.94	21.71	1.03	limited
	Dokki	limited	0.22	41.38	2.05	limited
	Atfih	49.8	2.96	10.67	0.20	limited
El Fayoum	Sinnuris	Limited	1.93	20.77	1.48	limited
	Ibshway	Limited	2.44	30.41	1.18	limmited
	Etsa	limited	limited	13.71	3.28	0.21

2.1.2. Maize and rice

The collected maize and rice samples from different localities of Egyptian market were found contaminated by 23 species of fungi. About 70% of the collected samples were infected with *Aspergillus flavus* and *niger* (33%, 40% recovered from maize and 46%, 27% recovered from rice, respectively). Total aflatoxins and fumonisins were estimated in maize samples as 9.75 and 33 mg/kg respectively, while in rice samples averaged 5.15 and 1014 mg/kg respectively [27].

The rice samples collected from different governorates of Egypt and kept in cloth bags and stored at ambient environmental conditions showed elevated moisture content in both dehulled and hulled rice stores, especially in Sharkia governorate (Table 3); this may refer to the quality of soil and the service during agriculture [28]. It was also noticed that the brown rice collected for processing from zero to 90 days was free of aflatoxins, while

during the period from 180 to 270 days it had varieties of aflatoxin. The hulled rice had the highest value of aflatoxin specially in Sharkia, followed by Dakahlia, and the lowest level of aflatoxin were in hulled rice in Kafr El-Sheikh. After 180 days of storage, it was grade 3 and infested by fungi from all the collection zones (Dakahlia, Kafr El-Sheikh, and Sharkia) [29].

Climate change has emerged as a significant driver influencing the distribution, frequency, and severity of mycotoxin contamination in crops and food systems. Rising global temperatures, increased humidity, drought stress, and shifting rainfall patterns have collectively contributed to an expansion of fungal growth zones and extended growing seasons for mycotoxigenic fungi such as *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and *Penicillium* [30, 31].

Recent studies demonstrate that aflatoxin contamination in maize and peanuts increases significantly during drought events followed by high humidity periods [32]. These findings underscore the urgent need to integrate climate-resilient agricultural practices, early warning systems, and mycotoxin management strategies into national and international food safety frameworks.

Table 3: Fungus count and Aflatoxin level in rice during storage

	Dakahlia	Kafr-Elshikh	Shrakia
Humidity %	15	15	16.5
Count of fungi for hulled rice			
Zero time	1.9	1.8	0.4
Storage 90 days	3	3.1	2
Storage 180 days	3.21	3.24	1.5
Level of Aflatoxin in stored rice			
After 180 days	1.2	1	1.4
After 270 days	2	1.5	2.7

Evaluation of the prevalence of toxigenic fungi in barley grains, white and yellow corn, rice, and wheat samples (Table 4) showed that there is variable fungal colony count in different governorates of Egypt. *Aspergillus* spp. were the predominant fungi followed by *Penicillium* spp. The HPLC analyses revealed that fungal isolates produced the four types of aflatoxins: AFB1 (0.02–875.03 ng/g), AFB2 (20.07–13.55 ng/g), AFG1 (0.06–2.85 ng/g), and AFG2 (0.71–89.29 ng/g). These results indicated the importance of a control system to regulate fungal contamination and mycotoxin production in grains before consumption [33].

Table 4 Total mold count in different grains in different governorate of Egypt

(Cfu/gm)	Barley	White corn	Yellow corn	Rice	Wheat
Alexandrea	17	18	23	15	22
Beheira	14	9	21	14	17
Cairo	14	13	14	10	18
Giza	15	17	17	13	23
Menoufia	8	12	15	10	14
Qalyubia	9	14	9	10	11

2.1.3. Silage

Silage samples collected from Assiut and Sohag governorates in Egypt were highly contaminated with different genera and species of molds. With total count of viable mold 9920 and 131,600 colonies/gm dry silage on each of Sabouraud's dextrose agar and glucose–Czapek's media, respectively. The most prevalent genera were *Aspergillus* (100% and 57.5% of the samples), *Penicillium* (55% and 100%) on the two mentioned media, respectively. Also, *Gibberella fujikurori* and *Fusarium oxysporum* were present in moderate incidences. Mycotoxin incidence were also determined and the highest prevalence (22.5%) was for Aflatoxins in all analyzed samples [34].

2.2. Meat Products

Meat products may have the mycotoxin residue from feeding live animals contaminated feed and may be from using bad quality flavoring materials, particularly spices, and improper hygienic procedures during processing and storage of the products. Mold contamination of meat products is a major public health hazard as causing

three different types of illnesses, namely mycosis, mycotoxicosis, and allergy [35]. The most toxic mycotoxins commonly found in meat are ochratoxin A (OTA) and aflatoxin B1 (AFB1). Meat products, such as liver, fermented sausages, dry rack & blade, pancetta, bacon, ham, etc., are consumed worldwide, and the consumers ask for higher-level quality and safety of these products. Table (5) showed high prevalence of mold in minced meat and sausage [35] among meat products in local market of Egypt.

Spices added to meat products are usually contaminated [36]. High AFB1 was demonstrated in paprika (155.7 µg/kg) [37] and black pepper (75.8 µg/kg) [38]. The same was for OTA in Paprika (177.4 µg/kg) [39]; and black pepper (79.0 µg/kg) [40]. OTA was found in dry-fermented sausages (8.11 µg/kg) spiced in red paprika [41]. Also, prosciutto samples showed higher level of OTA due to pepper spiking; namely, pepper often becomes contaminated with *Aspergillus* moulds, especially *A. Niger*, which produces OTA [42].

Table 5: Incidence of mold count in meat products in Egypt during 2020-2021

Meat product	Mold count (Cfu /gm)	references
Minced beef meat	$2.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^2$	43
Beef burger	$1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 9.2 \times 10^2$	44
sausage	$3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 2.1 \times 10^2$	35
Beef luncheon	$2.3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2$	45
Frankfurter	$0.6 \times 10 \pm 0.2 \times 10$	46
Kofta	$0.5 \times 10 \pm 0.1 \times 10$	47
Bastirma	$0.4 \times 10 \pm 0.07 \times 10$	48

2.3. Dairy Products

Dairy products are a vital component of the human diet, especially for infants and young children, as they are a rich source of essential nutrients. However, their nutrient richness and moisture content create an ideal environment for molds [49]. Mold growth in dairy products depends on temperature, humidity, pH, and composition. While molds are not pathogens, they can produce mycotoxins, the most prevalent of which is Aflatoxin B1 [50]. AFB1 ingested by lactating animals is converted into AFM1 in the liver [51] and excreted in milk, where it binds to casein and remains in dairy products, especially cheese [52]. AFM1 is highly persistent, even during pasteurization and UHT treatment [53, 54]. Due to its toxicity, over 60 countries have established permissible limits [55]. EU regulations cap AFM1 at 50 ng/L, while the US allows 500 ng/kg [56]. Prolonged exposure may cause immunosuppression, hepatocarcinoma, and impaired child growth due to AFM1 interaction with DNA [57]. Table (6) showed AFM1 prevalence in milk (the highest prevalence was for goat milk) and milk products (the highest prevalence was for Ras cheese) and showed the effect of different treatment of milk on the prevalence of AFM1 (the highest prevalence was for pasteurized milk) [58-70].

Table 6: of AFM1 and Mold Contamination in Dairy Products

Product Type	Source / Processing Type	AFM1 Prevalence (%)	Mold Contamination (%)	Mean Mold Count (CFU/g)	Ref No
Milk	Goat	84.5%	15–28%	$1.9 \times 10^3 - 2.6 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml	60
Milk	Cow	78.2%	12–25%	$1.08 \times 10^4 - 3.15 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml	61
Milk	Sheep	60.2%	10–22%	$1.5 \times 10^3 - 3.2 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml	62
Milk	Camel	56.0%	9–20%	$\sim 1.9 \times 10^5$ CFU/ml	63
Milk	Pasteurized	99.5%	<2%	$2.0 \times 10^3 - 3.0 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml	64
Milk	UHT	91.3%	$\approx 0\%$	$0 - 10^5$ CFU/ml	61
Milk	Raw	73.0%	9–12.6%	$10^4 - 10^6$ CFU/ml	65
Cheese	White	95.7%	64–88%	$1.2 \times 10^3 - 1.3 \times 10^4$ CFU/g	66
Cheese	Traditional	86.7%	68–76%	$3.6 \times 10^3 - 6.5 \times 10^3$ CFU/g	66
Cheese	Feta	66.5%	54–76%	1.2×10^3 CFU/g	66
Cheese	Cheddar	19.3%	40–65%	$\sim 2.0 \log_{10}$ CFU/g	67
Ras Cheese	Semi-hard ripened cheese from raw or pasteurized cow milk	80–100%	73.3%	$12 \times 10^4 \pm 7.5 \times 10^4$ CFU/g	68
Flavored Yogurt	Sweetened/flavored yogurt from pasteurized milk	35–60%	56.6%	$49 \times 10^3 \pm 18 \times 10^3$ CFU/g	69
Cheese Analogue	Processed cheese with vegetable oils and milk powder	28–55%	50.0%	$2.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.3 \times 10^2$ CFU/g	70
Plain Yogurt	Natural yogurt from pasteurized milk	30–72%	46.7%	Not reported	66

Milk-based Cereals	Infant cereals with milk powder	20–43%	46.7%	Not reported	71
Milk Powder	Dried cow milk (imported or local)	48–88%	40.0%	Not reported	72
Cream Analogue	Dairy cream substitute with vegetable oils	18–42%	33.3%	$4.5 \times 10 \pm 1.1 \times 10$ CFU/g	70

3. MYCOTOXINS REDUCTION & DETOXIFICATION

Mycotoxins can be reduced or eliminated through physical, chemical, and biological strategies.

3.1. physical methods

3.1.1. Specific gravity of the mycotoxins Contaminated cereals have lower specific gravity than the normal ones. These characteristics enable gravity separation, sieving and aspiration techniques for isolation of the mycotoxins contaminated feedstuffs [71,72]. However, these techniques are suitable for small-scale applications.

3.1.2. Washing

Mycotoxins can be isolated and decontaminated according to its solubility either in water or fat by extraction either by washing in water or organic solvent [73]. However, these methods causes loss of nutrients, and costly due to drying and toxic extracts disposal, which limit their large-scale application.

3.1.3. Thermal treatment

Despite thermal treatment was used for mycotoxin detoxification for many years. AFB1, DON, ZEN and FB1 are heat-stable compounds needs very high temperature for decomposition, 237, 175, 220, 150 °C, respectively which makes it difficult to eliminate [74-76].

3.1.4. Irradiation

Irradiation was used for removing mycotoxins from the feed on an industrial scale. It can be classified into ionizing (x-rays, γ -rays and electron beam) and non-ionizing radiations (ultraviolet rays, infrared and microwave) [77, 78]. Although irradiations can decontaminate mycotoxins in feedstuffs, it may generate harmful microorganisms and affect the nutritional values of feedstuffs.

3.1.5. Adsorption binders

Some compounds such as bentonite, montmorillonite, zeolite, hydrated sodium calcium alumino silicate, kaolin, and illite can form a complex with mycotoxins, to hinder absorption of mycotoxins from the gastrointestinal tract into the blood and organs of animals [79].

They are effective at removing mycotoxins from the feed with more than 90% efficiency.

Glucomannan is a strong adsorbent to toxic substances and harmful pathogenic bacteria in animals. It has binding ability for AFs, ZEN, FBs, and DON by 95%, 75%, 59% and 12%, respectively [80,81].

The β -D-glucan chains of yeast cell walls have been demonstrated to effectively inactivate ZEN [82, 83].

Inactivated yeast cell walls fermenting volatile organic compounds could decrease AFs and DON synthesis by 82% and 93%, respectively, in vitro [84].

Activated charcoal has excellent adsorption capabilities in aqueous environment and can reduce AFs, ZEN, DON due to its porous structure [85, 86]

3.1.6. Magnetic carbon Nano composites

Produced by maize wastes for the removal of AFB1, with adsorption percent 90% within 180 min at pH 7.0 [87]. In addition, cross-linked chitosan-glutaraldehyde polymers could adsorb multiple mycotoxins such as AFB1 (73%), ZEN (94%) and FB1 (99%), but no obvious adsorption for DON and T-2 toxin (< 30%) [88].

3.1.7 Lactic acid bacteria and yeast

Yeast and LAB, cell wall contain peptidoglycan, proteinaceous layer, and polysaccharides. These cell wall components make adhesion to macromolecules of toxic compounds. Lactobacillus casei, Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus mesenteroides and Lactobacillus rhamnosus can significantly reduce the absorption of aflatoxin in the intestinal tract [89]. Lactobacillus plantarum F22 had a strong adsorption capacity on AFB1 and the adsorption rate could reach 56.8% [90]. Lactobacillus plantarum B7 and Lactobacillus pentosus X8 can remove 52.9% and 58.0% of FB1 [91]

3.2. Chemical methods

3.2.1. Alkaline treatment

Alkalines such as sodium hydroxide, ammonia, potassium hydroxide and sodium carbonate were used for the destruction of mycotoxins in the moldy feedstuffs [92, 93]. The lactone ring of AFB1 can be broken by base hydrolysis to produce coumarin sodium salt then eliminated by washing with water [94]. Despite the detoxification of mycotoxins, other forms of masked toxins were formed by this reaction with possible harmful side effects on the environment and food quality (changes in nutritional value, texture, or flavor) [92, 93].

3.2.2. Ozone treatment

The oxidizers such as hydrogen peroxide, ozone, sodium and calcium hypochlorite, chlorine and other oxidizers were used for detoxification and degradation of AFs, DON, ZEN and FB1 and showed very satisfactory effect [95].

3.3. Biological methods

Mycotoxin biodegradation takes place by the secondary metabolites produced by the organism either intracellular or extracellular and change the toxic group of the mycotoxin molecules to non-toxic or less toxic degradation products [96].

3.3.1. Microbial biotransformation against AFB1

Fungal strains like *S. cerevisiae* LOCK 0119 have the ability to degrade AFB1 at levels of 69.0% [97]. Also, various *Aspergillus* strains like *A. niger* RAF106 and *A. niger* FS10 can degrade AFB1 to levels between 88.6% and 98.7% [98, 99].

Bacterial strains such as *Nocardia*, *Flavobacterium aurantiacum*, and *Corynebacteroids* secrete enzymes to degrade 74.5% of AFB1 within 24 hours [100, 101, 102].

Bacillus is an important class of bacteria with the ability to degrade AFB1. *Bacillus subtilis* UTBSP1 showed a degradation rate of 78.4–95.0% [103]. Another strain, *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060, could degrade 81.5% of AFB1 within 72 h [104]. Other *Bacillus* strains such as *B. velezensis* DY3108, *B. licheniformis* CFR1, *B. shackletonii* L7, and *B. subtilis* JSW-1 showed degradation levels between 67.2–94.7% [105, 106, 107, 108].

Other bacteria such as *Pseudomonas putida*, *Escherichia coli* CG1061, *Stenotrophomonas* sp. CW117, and lactic acid bacteria also showed efficient biodegradation rates up to 90% or more for AFB1 [109, 110, 103].

3.3.2. Microbial biotransformation against DON

Some bacteria isolated from soil samples (*Devosia insulae* A16, strain E3-39, bacterial consortium C20, *Pseudomonas* sp. Y1, and *Lysobacter* sp. S1) converted DON to 3-keto DON or 3-epi-DON, which are less toxic derivatives [111, 110, 112]. These strains showed 74–100% DON reduction [111, 110, 113]. Other isolates like LS100, SS3, strain BBSH 797, and *Eggerthella* sp. DII-9 showed high biotransformation of DON to diepoxy-deoxynivalenol [104, 114, 115].

Strains of *Aspergillus* (NJA-1) and *Bacillus subtilis* ASAG 216 decreased DON concentrations by 81.1% and 94.4%, respectively [116, 117].

3.3.3. Microbial biotransformation against ZEN

Microorganisms like *Bacillus natto* and *Bacillus subtilis* detoxify ZEN to α -zen, β -zen, sulfate, and other low- or non-toxic secondary products with over 75% efficiency. Another study reported detoxification of ZEN by *B. subtilis* strain up to 99% [118].

Bacillus subtilis ANSB01G, isolated from broiler intestine, degraded 88.7% of ZEN in infected corn grain [119]. *Bacillus pumilus* ES-21 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ZDS-1, isolated from soil, showed 95.7% ZEN reduction [120, 121].

3.3.4. Microbial biotransformation against Fumonisin B1 (FB1)

Some fungal and bacterial microorganisms can detoxify fumonisins. The yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* IS1/1 can degrade 45–50% of FB1 and FB2 in culture medium. *S. cerevisiae* SC82 degrades 22% of FB1 and 25% of the FB1–FB2 mixture [122].

Three strains of *Bacillus* spp. S9, S10, and S69 degraded 43%, 48%, and 83% of FB1, respectively [123]. Bacterial strain NCB1492, isolated from soil, degraded 100% of FB1 at 25°C after 24h [124]. Another study reported 100% degradation of FB1 by bacterial consortium SAAS79 [125].

3.3.5. The usage of catabolizing enzymes

Microbial enzymes have been widely studied for their ability to degrade mycotoxins into less toxic or non-toxic metabolites (Table 8). Probiotics can detoxify the toxic compounds through mechanisms such as reducing ion exchange, microprecipitation, chelation, and adsorption [126, 127]. Mycotoxins can be degraded by some microorganisms and their toxic metabolites in GIT of animals [128, 129]. The microbial enzymes that can detoxify FB1, DON, ZEN and AFB1 showed high efficiency.

Table 7: Comparison of Mycotoxin Detoxification Methods

Category	Method	Mechanism	Effectiveness	Cost	Impact on Food Quality	Industrial Applicability	Ref
Physical	Specific gravity separation	Separation of contaminated grains based on density	Moderate	Low	Minimal	Low (small scale)	[71], [72]
	Washing (water/solvents)	Extraction of soluble mycotoxins	Moderate	High	Nutrient loss	Limited due to cost and waste	[73]
	Thermal treatment	Heat-induced degradation of mycotoxins	Low to moderate	Moderate	Possible quality degradation	Limited	[74], [75], [76]
	Irradiation	Chemical bond disruption via radiation	High	High	May reduce nutritional value	Moderate to high	[77], [78]
	Adsorbent binders (e.g. clay)	Binding toxins in the gut to prevent absorption	High (>90%)	Moderate	No direct food effect	High (used in commercial feeds)	[79], [80], [82-86],
	Magnetic nanocomposites	Nanomaterial adsorption of toxins	High	High	Low impact	Experimental	[87] [88],
	Probiotic yeast/LAB	Toxin binding in gut or food surface	Moderate	Moderate	Can improve animal gut health	Under industrial development	[89], [90] [91]
Chemical	Alkaline treatment	Chemical breakdown of mycotoxins (e.g., AFB1)	High	Low	May affect taste/texture	Possible with quality monitoring	[92], [93] [94]
	Ozone & oxidizing agents	Oxidation of toxin functional groups	Very high	Moderate	Potential quality alteration	High (under strict conditions)	[95]
Biological	Microbial degradation	Enzyme production that transforms toxins	High (70–100%)	Low to moderate	No or positive effect	Highly promising (scalable potential)	[96], [97], [103], [110]
	Nutritional	Reduce oxidative stress caused by mycotoxin	High	Low	Positive effect	promising	[143, 163]

3.3.5.1. Catabolizing enzymes against AFB1

Laccase while oxidase can detoxify FB1 [128]. The detoxification enzyme for AFB1 designated as aflatoxin detoxifzyme significantly reduced its mutagenic effects [130].

Manganese peroxidase (1.5 U/mL) can degrade 90% of AFB1 after 2 days of reaction [131]. *Bacillus shackletonii* L7 and *Myxococcus fulvus* ANSM068 produce aflatoxin AFB1 detoxifying enzyme [107, 132].

3.3.5.2. Catabolizing enzymes against DON

Many studies showed that DON can be degraded to less toxic compounds by enzymes like NADH-dependent bacterial cytochrome P450, peroxidase such as manganese peroxidase and lignin peroxidase, Aldo-keto reductase DepA and DepB [133], and a quinone-dependent dehydrogenase and two NADPH-dependent aldo/keto reductases (AKR13B2 and AKR6D1) [134].

3.3.5.3 Catabolizing enzymes against ZEN

Mycotoxin ZEN can be degraded by laccases, copper-containing oxidases used in many industrial applications [135, 136]. A recombinant ZEN-specific lactonohydrolase was innovated and secreted into the culture liquid from transformed fungal clones and removed ZEN from the field [137].

Another development of a recombinant fusion enzyme produced by two single genes (ZEN-specific lactonohydrolase and carboxypeptidase) was successful in complete degrading of ZEN to the non-toxic product in 2 h at pH 7 and 35 °C [138].

3.3.5.4. Catabolizing enzymes against FB1

FB1 can be degraded to a less toxic substance by fumonisin carboxyl esterase Fum D enzyme when incubated for 2 h in the GIT of turkeys and pigs [139, 128].

Table 8: Catabolizing Enzymes for Degradation of Major Mycotoxins

Mycotoxin	Enzyme Type	Source/Organism	Detoxification Efficiency	Reference
AFB1	Laccase	Fungi	Degrades AFB1	128
AFB1	Aflatoxin detoxifzyme (recombinant)	Armillariella tabescens	Reduces mutagenicity	129
AFB1	Manganese peroxidase (1.5 U/mL)	—	Degrades 90% of AFB1 in 2 days	130
AFB1	AFB1-degrading enzymes	Bacillus shackletonii L7, Myxococcus fulvus ANSM068	High AFB1 detoxification	131 132
DON	Cytochrome P450 (NADH-dependent)	Bacteria	Converts DON to less toxic compounds	133
DON	Manganese & lignin peroxidase	Bacteria	Degrades DON	134
DON	Aldo-keto reductases (Dep A, Dep B)	Bacteria	Reduces toxicity	134
DON	AKR13B2, AKR6D1 (NADPH-dependent)	Bacteria	Effective DON biotransformation	134
ZEN	Laccases	Fungi	Industrial degradation of ZEN	136 135
ZEN	Lactonohydrolase (recombinant)	Transformed fungal clones	ZEN removal from culture	137
ZEN	Fusion enzyme (lactonohydrolase + carboxypeptidase)	fungus	Complete degradation of ZEN within 2 h at pH 7, 35°C	138
FB1	Oxidase	GIT flora	Degradation activity against FB1	128
FB1	Fumonisin carboxylesterase (FumD)	GIT flora	Degrades FB1 in 2 h in pig and turkey GIT	139

3.4. Nutritional Strategies for Mycotoxin Detoxification

Mycotoxins are known to impair nutrient uptake and induce the generation of free radicals, which in turn cause cytotoxicity. Nutrients that stimulate the synthesis of glutathione (derived from glutamate, cysteine, and glycine) [141-143] or enhance antioxidant enzyme activity, can support detoxification. (Table 9).

In addition to well-known antioxidants like selenium and vitamins, studies have highlighted the protective effects of several other natural and synthetic compounds. For example, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) reduces the toxicity of AFB1 by stimulating glutathione S-transferase activity while inhibiting CYP450 activity [144]. Alpha-lipoic acid has shown hepatoprotective effects and improved growth in broilers exposed to AFB1 [145]. Bioactive peptides such as lactoferrin, plant defensins, antimicrobial peptides, and active yeast have shown protective effects against DON toxicity in piglets, improving antioxidant and immune markers. Furthermore, Xiao et al. reported that a composite nutrient mixture improved performance, intestinal function, and immune response in weaned piglets exposed to DON [146].

Natural antifungal agents such as citral, citric acid, and cinnamaldehyde have shown the ability to disrupt fungal cell membranes, inhibit DNA/protein synthesis, and induce lethal genetic damage in *Aspergillus flavus*, thereby reducing aflatoxin production [147-149].

Economic Impact and Practical Applications for Mycotoxin Management

Mycotoxin contamination poses a serious economic challenge to both global and local agricultural and food systems, including in countries like Egypt. These toxic metabolites, produced by fungi, result in reduced crop yields, compromised livestock productivity, and substantial post-harvest losses. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), up to 25% of the world's food crops are contaminated with mycotoxins annually, causing economic losses exceeding \$1.5 billion in the United States alone, with even more severe consequences in developing countries where monitoring and control measures are often inadequate. In Egypt,

for instance, the rejection of imported wheat shipments due to excessive aflatoxin levels has disrupted supply chains and increased dependence on foreign grain markets.

In the livestock industry, animals consuming contaminated feed may experience poor feed conversion, suppressed immune function, reproductive issues, and increased mortality, which not only reduces productivity but also escalates veterinary costs and management burdens. Additionally, dairy and meat exports may face bans if they surpass global safety thresholds for mycotoxins, leading to further economic losses and market exclusion. Industries involved in food processing and distribution also bear the financial strain of routine testing, detoxification treatments, product recalls, and compliance with safety standards, all of which reduce profit margins and contribute to higher food prices, affecting both producers and consumers.

Table 9: Nutritional Strategies for Mycotoxin Detoxification

Nutrient/Compound	Main Mechanism of Action	Target Mycotoxins	Animal Model	Reference(s)
Selenium (Se)	Antioxidant, regulation of CYP450 enzymes, reduces inflammation and cell damage	AFB1, DON	Poultry, Piglets	[144] , [145]
Vitamins A, C, E	Antioxidant, scavenging free radicals	AFB1,ZEN, OTA	Mice, Poultry	[146], [147]
Vitamin B1 (Thiamine)	Reduced toxicity of Fusarium toxins	Fusarium toxins	Poultry	[148]
Vitamin E	Reversed FB1-induced reproductive and hormonal effects	FB1	Rabbits	[149]
Carotenoids	Liver protection, anti-carcinogenic effects	AFB1	Rats	[150]
Silymarin	Hepatoprotection, antioxidant activity, gene regulation	AFB1, ZEN	Rats, Broiler chickens	[151], [152]
Curcumin	Downregulation of CYP450s, improved antioxidant enzyme activity	AFB1,DON, FB1	Chickens, Cell cultures	[152] , [153]
Quercetin	Suppressed AFB1 bioactivation, reduced oxidative stress	AFB1	Bovine epithelial cells	[154]
Resveratrol	Increased total antioxidant capacity, improved plasma proteins	AFB1	Broilers	[155]
Rhamnoides Oil	Liver protection against aflatoxin exposure	AFs	Broilers	[156]
Methionine	Mitigated DON-induced toxicity	DON	Piglets	[157]
Glutamic Acid, Arginine, etc.	Improved antioxidant and physiological responses	DON	Fattening pigs	[158]
Astragalus Extract	Immunomodulatory and organ protective effects	DON	Mice	[159]
Soy Isoflavones	Reduced ZEN-induced reproductive toxicity, anti-inflammatory	ZEN, FB1	Gilts, Rats	[145], [160]
Essential Oils (e.g., Citral)	Disruption of cell membrane, inhibition of fungal metabolism and replication	Aflatoxins(A. flavus)	In vitro	[161], [162]
Citric Acid, Cinnamaldehyde	Altered cell permeability, inhibited DNA/protein synthesis in fungi	Aflatoxins	In vitro	[163]

Conclusions

To mitigate these economic and health impacts, practical strategies must be adopted across the agricultural value chain. The following are key recommendations and proposed applications:

For the Agricultural Sector:

1. Implement regular screening programs for fungal contamination at harvest.
2. Promote crop rotation and the use of resistant crop varieties to reduce fungal colonization.
3. Adopt precision irrigation and soil management to avoid moisture buildup.

For the Food and Feed Industry:

1. Use integrated detoxification approaches, combining mycotoxin binders and microbial additives in feed.
2. Invest in post-harvest technologies such as proper drying, sorting, and storage systems.
3. Apply real-time monitoring and quality control tools for mycotoxin detection.

Storage and Transport Guidelines:

1. Maintain humidity levels below 65% and temperatures below 25°C in grain silos.
2. Avoid using damaged containers; ensure proper aeration during transport.
3. Implement first-in, first-out (FIFO) protocols to minimize storage duration.

Public Awareness and Farmer Education:

1. Launch awareness campaigns highlighting the health and economic risks of mycotoxins.
2. Train farmers on best practices in harvesting, drying, and storing crops.
3. Distribute user-friendly guides and mobile applications for toxin risk alerts.

Overall, early detection, integrated management, and effective policy support are essential tools to minimize the economic burden of mycotoxins and ensure the quality and competitiveness of agricultural exports.

Author contributions

Authors make equal contributions. All authors read and approved the manuscript before submission.

Acknowledgements

The authors did not receive any funding or financial support.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Statements & Declarations

Funding

“The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.”

References

1. Danial E. N., Walaa A. Majrashi, Ahlam O. Bin Afif, Ebtehal S. Alamr, Entesar M. Alhatimi and Nowayer J. Alghamdi (2021). Cytotoxicity and Bioremediation of Heavy Metals by Highly Resistant Marine Bacteria. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 24(4): 48–72.
2. Simpson, D.R.; Weston, G.E.; Turner, J.A.; Jennings, P.; Nicholson, P. (2001). Differential control of head blight pathogens of wheat by fungicides and consequences for mycotoxin contamination of grain. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 107, 421–431.
3. Aslam, N.; Rodrigues, I.; McGill, D.M.; Warriach, H.M.; Cowling, A.; Haque, A.; Wynn, P.C. (2016). Transfer of aflatoxins from naturally contaminated feed to milk of Nili-Ravi buffaloes fed a mycotoxin binder. *Animal Production Science*, 56, 1637–1642.
4. Berthiller, F.; Maragos, C.M.; Dall'Asta, C. (2016). Introduction to masked mycotoxins. In: *Masked Mycotoxins in Food: Formation, Occurrence and Toxicological Relevance*; Dall'Asta, C., Berthiller, F., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK. Volume 24, pp. 1–13.
5. Zhao L., Zhang L., Xu Z.J., Liu X.D., Chen L.Y., Dai J.F., et al. (2021). Occurrence of Aflatoxin B₁, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in feeds in China during 2018–2020. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 12: 74.
6. Zhang N.Y., Qi M., Zhao L., Zhu M.K., Guo J., Liu J., et al. (2016). Curcumin prevents aflatoxin B₁ hepatotoxicity by inhibition of cytochrome P450 isozymes in chick liver. *Toxins (Basel)*, 8(11): 327.
7. Sun L.H., Zhang N.Y., Zhu M.K., Zhao L., Zhou J.C., Qi D.S. (2016). Prevention of aflatoxin B₁ hepatotoxicity by dietary selenium is associated with inhibition of cytochrome P450 isozymes and up-regulation of 6 selenoprotein genes in chick liver. *Journal of Nutrition*, 146(4): 655–661.
8. Abrehame, S., Manoj, V. R., Hailu, M., Chen, Y.-Y., Lin, Y.-C., & Chen, Y.-P. (2023). Aflatoxins: Source, detection, clinical features and prevention. *Processes*, 11(1), 204. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11010204>
9. Zhao L., Feng Y., Deng J., Zhang N.Y., Zhang W.P., Liu X.L., et al. (2019). Selenium deficiency aggravates aflatoxin B₁-induced immunotoxicity in chick spleen by regulating 6 selenoprotein genes and redox/inflammation/apoptotic signaling. *Journal of Nutrition*, 149(6): 894–901.
10. Lee H.J., Ryu D. (2017). Worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in cereals and cereal derived food products: public health perspectives of their co-occurrence. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 65(33): 7034–7051.
11. Liu M., Zhang L., Chu X.H., Ma R., Wang Y.W., Liu Q., et al. (2020). Effects of deoxynivalenol on the porcine growth performance and intestinal microbiota and potential remediation by a modified HSCAS binder. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 141: 111373.
12. Zhang L., Ma R., Zhu M.X., Zhang N.Y., Liu X.L., Wang Y.W., et al. (2020). Effect of deoxynivalenol on the porcine acquired immune response and potential remediation by a novel modified HSCAS adsorbent. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 138: 111187.
13. Gao X., Xiao Z.H., Liu M., Zhang N.Y., Khalil M.M., Gu C.Q., et al. (2018). Dietary silymarin supplementation alleviates zearalenone-induced hepatotoxicity and reproductive toxicity in rats. *Journal of Nutrition*, 148(8): 1209–1216.
14. Saddiq A.A., Danial E.N., Saraf I.A. (2021). Impact of Natural Products on Developing New Treatments for Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19): Review. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, 33(28B): 101–122.

15. Gao X., Sun L.H., Zhang N.Y., Li C., Zhang J., Xiao Z.H., et al. (2017). Gestational zearalenone exposure causes reproductive and developmental toxicity in pregnant rats and female offspring. *Toxins (Basel)*, 9(1): 21.
16. Chen J., Wei Z., Wang Y., Long M., Wu W.D., Kuca K. (2021). Fumonisin B1: mechanisms of toxicity and biological detoxification progress in animals. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 149(3): 111977.
17. Tajik, H.M. Sayadi, Effects of probiotic bacteria of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* on aflatoxin B1 detoxification within a simulated Gastrointestinal tract model. *Toxin Reviews*. 41(1), 92–99 (2022)
18. Jia R., Cao L.R., Liu W.B., Shen Z.Y. (2021). Detoxification of deoxynivalenol by *Bacillus subtilis* ASAG 216 and characterization the degradation process. *European Food Research and Technology*, 247: 67–76.
19. Niderkorn, V H. Boudra, D. Morgavi, Binding of fusarium Mycotoxins by fermentative bacteria in vitro. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 101(4), 849–856 (2006)
20. Liu, C. Zhao, .C. Liu, H. Du W, Sun J., Zhou W. , Sun, C Biodegradation of Ochratoxin A by two novel strains of *Brevibacillus* Sp. isolated from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). *Food Bioscience*. 54, 102847 (2023)
21. Yang X., Li F., Ning H., Zhang W., Niu D., Shi Z., Chai S., Shan A., Screening of pig-derived Zearalenone-degrading bacteria through the Zearalenone challenge model, and their degradation characteristics. *Toxins*. 14(3), 224 (2022)
22. Eskola M., Kos G., Elliott C.T., Hajšlová J., Mayar S., Krska R. (2020). Worldwide contamination of food-crops with mycotoxins: Validity of the widely cited ‘FAO estimate’ of 25%. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(16): 2773–2789. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.165>
23. Miraglia M., Brera C. (2000). Mycotoxins in grains and related products. In: Nollet, L.M.L. (Ed.), *Food Analysis by HPLC*, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 493–522.
24. Giray B., Girgin G., Engin A.B., Aydin S., Sahin G. (2007). Aflatoxin levels in wheat samples consumed in some regions of Turkey. *Food Control*, 18: 23–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2005.08.002>
25. European Commission (2010). Regulation No 165/2010 of 26 February 2010, amending Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs.
26. EOSQC (2010). Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality Control, Egyptian Standard No. 7136/2010, Cairo, Egypt.
27. Madbouly A.K., Ibrahim I.M., Sehab A.F., Abdel-Wahhab M.A. (2012). Co-occurrence of mycoflora, aflatoxins and fumonisins in maize and rice seeds from markets of different districts in Cairo, Egypt. *Food Additives and Contaminants: Part B*, 5(2): 112–120.
28. Awad-Allah, M., Mikhael, B., Abdel-Rahem, W. (2019). Performance of Different Rice Genotypes under Different Dates of Sowing. *Journal of Plant Production*, 10(12), 973-981. doi: 10.21608/jpp.2019.71515
29. Saleh A.A., El-Howeity M.A., Galal H., Abo Hagar A.A. (2020). Study On Mycotoxin Contamination For Egyptian Rice During Post Harvest Processing. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Researches*, 10(2): 337–347.
30. Kos J., Anić M., Radić B., Zadavec M., Janić Hajnal E., Pleadin J. (2023). Climate Change—A Global Threat Resulting in Increasing Mycotoxin Occurrence. *Foods*, 12(14): 2704. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12142704>
31. Casu A., Camardo Leggieri M., Toscano P., Battilani P. (2024). Changing climate, shifting mycotoxins: A comprehensive review of climate change impact on mycotoxin contamination. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 23(2): e13323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13323>
32. Kumar A., Pathak H., Bhadauria S., et al. (2021). Aflatoxin contamination in food crops: causes, detection, and management: a review. *Food Production, Processing and Nutrition*, 3: 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-021-00064-y>
33. Abdel-Nasser A., Fathy H.M., Badr A.N., Hathout A.S., Baraka O.S.M. (2022). Prevalence of Aflatoxigenic Fungi in Cereal Grains and Their Related Chemical Metabolites. *Egyptian Journal of Chemistry*, 65(10): 455–470.
34. Walaa H. Khalifa, S. A. Abo Sedera, Fat, Fatma Abou-Hashim (2024). Reduction of postweaning stress by fasting regimen and probiotics supplementation and study its effects on cecal microbiota and physiological parameters of growing rabbits (108) , Issue5 1588-1594.
35. Abuzaid K.E.A., Shaltout F., Salem R., El-Diasty E.M. (2020). Microbial aspect of some processed meat products with special reference to aflatoxins. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 39(2): 24–28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21608/bvmj.2020.44886.1274>

36. Jalili M., Jinap S. (2012). Natural occurrence of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in commercial dried chili. *Food Control*, 24: 160–164.
37. Zahra N., Khan M., Mehmood Z., Saeed M., Kalim I., Ahmad I., Malik K. (2018). Determination of aflatoxins in spices and dried fruits. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 10: 315–321.
38. Li, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, D., Deng, Y., Xie, T., Zhao, Y., & Zhang, L. (2021). Selenium ameliorates aflatoxin B1-induced uterine injury in female mice and necrosis of human endometrial microvascular endothelial cells. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 41(9), 1381–1393. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.4120>
39. Gambacorta L., Magistà D., Perrone G., Murgolo S., Logrieco A.F., Solfrizzo M. (2018). Co-occurrence of toxigenic moulds, aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, Fusarium and Alternaria mycotoxins in fresh sweet peppers (*Capsicum annuum*) and their processed products. *World Mycotoxin Journal*, 11: 159–174.
40. Jaxsens L., Yogendrarajah P., Meulenaer B. (2016). Risk assessment of mycotoxins and predictive mycology in Sri Lankan spices: Chilli and pepper. *Procedia Food Science*, 6: 326–330.
41. Pleadin J., Kovačević D., Perši N. (2015). Ochratoxin A contamination of the autochthonous dry-cured meat product “Slavonski Kulen” during a six-month production process. *Food Control*, 57: 377–384.
42. Karan D.D., Vukojević J.B., Ljaljević-Grbić M.V., Milićević D.R., Janković V.V. (2005). Presence of moulds and mycotoxins in spices. *Proceedings of the Natural Sciences Matica Srpska*, 108: 77–84.
43. Elsayed, M. M., El-Gedawy, A. A., & Hassan, W. H. (2018). Mycological evaluation of meat and meat products in Assiut city. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 64(157), 1–11.
44. Al Yazeed, A., Salem-Bekhit, M. M., Alanazi, F. K., & Shakeel, F. (2015). Microbial quality of raw and processed meat in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 9(3), 2243–2250.
45. Mousa, W. K., Ahmed, A. A., & Farag, R. S. (2014). Incidence and identification of fungi in processed meat products in Egyptian markets. *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 42(1), 9–15.
46. Soliman, S. M., El-Shabrawy, H. A., & Haggag, W. M. (2019). Fungal contamination of ready-to-eat meat products and detection of some mycotoxins. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 36(2), 97–104.
47. Abd El-Tawab, A. A., Sharaf, E. M., & El-Kazzaz, W. (2020). Fungal contamination in meat products sold in Egyptian markets. *Zagazig Veterinary Journal*, 48(1), 72–80.
48. Ismail, H. A., Abd El-Aziz, M. I., & El-Sherbiny, H. M. (2013). Evaluation of the microbiological quality of some traditional meat products in Egypt. *Journal of Food Safety and Hygiene*, 3(2), 45–52.
49. Awasti, V. K., Pal, S., & Kumar, A. (2020). Microbial spoilage of dairy products and its control. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(3), 331–337.
50. Martin, P., Fernandez-Cruz, M. L., & González-Peñas, E. (2022). Occurrence and health risk of aflatoxins and aflatoxin M1 in milk and dairy products. *Toxins*, 14(6), 437.
51. Frazzoli C., Gherardi P., Saxena N., Belluzzi G., Mantovani A. (2017). The Hotspot for (Global) One Health in Primary Food Production: Aflatoxin M1 in Dairy Products. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 4: 294.
52. Firmin S., Morgavi D., Yiannikouris A., Boudra H. (2011). Effectiveness of Modified Yeast Cell Wall Extracts to Reduce Aflatoxin B1 Absorption in Dairy Ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 94: 5611–5619.
53. Danezis G., Anagnostopoulos C., Liapis K., Koupparis M. (2016). Multi-Residue Analysis of Pesticides, Plant Hormones, Veterinary Drugs and Mycotoxins Using HILIC Chromatography–MS/MS in Various Food Matrices. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 942: 121–138.
54. Tsakiris I.N., Tzatzarakis M.N., Alegakis A.K., Vlachou M.I., Renieri E.A., Tsatsakis A.M. (2013). Risk Assessment Scenarios of Children’s Exposure to Aflatoxin M1 Residues in Different Milk Types From the Greek Market. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 56: 261–265.
55. Sadia A., Jabbar M.A., Deng Y., et al. (2012). A Survey of Aflatoxin M1 in Milk and Sweets of Punjab, Pakistan. *Food Control*, 26: 235–240.
56. Campagnollo F.B., Ganey K.C., Khaneghah A.M., et al. (2016). The Occurrence and Effect of Unit Operations for Dairy Products Processing on the Fate of Aflatoxin M1: A Review. *Food Control*, 68: 310–329.
57. Zhang G., Li J., Lv J., Liu L., Li C., Liu L. (2019). Decontamination of Aflatoxin M1 in Yogurt Using *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* LC-4. *Journal of Food Safety*, 39: e12673.
58. Bahareh A., Kordkatuli K., Mardani H., Jafari A. (2025). A Comprehensive Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Prevalence of Aflatoxin M1 in Dairy Products in Selected Middle East Countries. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 11: e70204.
59. Adams, A., Jeffers, D., Lu, S., Guo, B., Williams, W. P., & Fountain, J. C. (2024). Effects of Different Shelling Methods on Data Variability during Field Screening for Reduced Aflatoxin Contamination in Maize. *Toxins*, 16(7), Article 324. DOI: 10.3390/toxins16070324
60. Abebe, E., Zewde, G., & Tolosa, T. (2023). Occurrence of Aflatoxin M1 in Goat Milk and Associated Risk Factors. *Toxins*, 15(2), 99.
61. El-Gayar, M.M., El-Taher, A.M., & Hassan, A.A. (2024). Mycological and Mycotoxins Assessment of Cow Milk in Egyptian Markets. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 107(3), 1155–1166.

62. González-Martín, M.I., et al. (2020). Quality and safety assessment of sheep milk in Mediterranean areas. *Food Control*, 118, 107429.
63. El-Ziney, M.G., & Al-Turki, A.I. (2007). Microbiological quality and aflatoxin M1 content in camel milk in Saudi Arabia. *Food Control*, 18(6), 601–606.
64. Jayarao, B.M., & Henning, D.R. (2001). Prevalence of foodborne pathogens in bulk tank milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 84(10), 2157–2162.
65. LeJeune, J.T., & Rajala-Schultz, P.J. (2009). Food safety: Unpasteurized milk: a continued public health threat. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 48(1), 93–100.
66. Ibrahim, H.A., El-Shafei, R.A., & El-Nakeeb, M.A. (2022). Occurrence of mold and aflatoxin M1 in cheese and yogurt products in Egypt. *Veterinary World*, 15(4), 1021–1029.
67. Mohamed, S.M., Haggag, H.F., & El-Din, H.A. (2017). Microbial load and mycotoxins levels in cheddar cheese. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 63(156), 112–121.
68. Galal, H.M., Azzam, K.M., & Farghaly, F.A. (2018). Fungal and mycotoxins contamination in Ras cheese marketed in Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Food Safety*, 6(2), 37–44.
69. Abou Donia, M.A., et al. (2010). Determination of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk and traditional dairy products in Egypt using ELISA. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B*, 3(1), 52–59.
70. Hassan, H.F., Sharaf, O.M., & Salem, D.A. (2021). Mycobiota and aflatoxin occurrence in cheese analogue and cream substitute. *Arab Journal of Biotechnology*, 24(1), 45–53.
71. Shundo, L., et al. (2009). Aflatoxin M1 in milk-based infant food in Brazil. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 26(4), 693–696.
72. Elzupir, A.O., & Elhussein, A.M. (2011). Determination of aflatoxin M1 in dairy products in Khartoum State, Sudan. *Food Control*, 22(12), 1971–1974.
73. Matumba, L., Van Poucke, C., Ediage, E. N., Jacobs, B., & De Saeger, S. (2015). Effectiveness of hand sorting, flotation/washing, dehulling and combinations thereof on the decontamination of mycotoxin-contaminated white maize. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 32(6), 960–969.
74. Braunberg, R. C., Gantt, O. O., & Friedman, L. (1982). Toxicological evaluation of compounds found in food using rat renal explants. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 20(5), 541–546.
75. Yumbe-Guevara, B. E., Imoto, T., & Yoshizawa, T. (2003). Effects of heating procedures on deoxynivalenol, nivalenol and zearalenone levels in naturally contaminated barley and wheat. *Food Additives & Contaminants*, 20(12), 1132–1140.
76. Jackson, L. S., Hlywka, J. J., Senthil, K. R., Bullerman, L. B., & Musser, S. M. (1996). Effects of time, temperature, and pH on the stability of fumonisin B1 in an aqueous model system. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44(3), 906–912.
77. Ryu, D., Hanna, A. M., Eskridge, M. K., & Bullerman, B. L. (2003). Heat stability of zearalenone in an aqueous buffered model system. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51(6), 1746–1748.
78. Aziz, N. H., & Moussa, L. A. (2002). Influence of gamma-radiation on mycotoxin-producing moulds and mycotoxins in fruits. *Food Control*, 13(4–5), 281–288.
79. Molins, R. A., Motarjemi, Y., & Käferstein, F. K. (2001). Irradiation: A critical control point in ensuring the microbiological safety of raw foods. *Food Control*, 12(6), 347–356.
80. Adamović, M., Stojanović, M., Grubišić, M., Ileš, D., & Milojković, J. (2011). Importance of aluminosilicate minerals in safe food production. *Macedonian Journal of Animal Science*, 1, 175–180.
81. Hart, F. (2014). The use of naturally occurring minerals in animal feed. *Industrial Minerals*, 565, 22.
82. Yiannikouris, A., André, G., Buléon, A., Jeminet, G., Canet, I., François, J., et al. (2004). Comprehensive conformational study of key interactions involved in zearalenone complexation with beta-D-glucans. *Biomacromolecules*, 5(6), 2176–2185.
83. Yiannikouris, A., Kwiatkowski, S., Kudupoj, M. B., & Matney, C. (2014). Synthetic mycotoxin adsorbents and methods of making and utilizing the same. US Patent Publication No. RE45274.
84. Zeidan, R., Ul-Hassan, Z., Al-Thani, R., Balmas, V., & Jaoua, S. (2018). Application of low-fermenting yeast *Lachancea thermotolerans* for the control of toxigenic fungi *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Penicillium verrucosum*, and *Fusarium graminearum* and their mycotoxins. *Toxins (Basel)*, 10(6), 242.
85. Alexander, H., Stefan, F., Othmar, K., & Hans, D. (2001). Mycotoxin detoxication of animal feed by different adsorbents. *Toxicology Letters*, 122(2), 179–188.
86. Liu, Y. L., Meng, G. Q., Wang, H. R., Zhu, H. L., Hou, Y. Q., Wang, W. J., et al. (2011). Effect of three mycotoxin adsorbents on growth performance, nutrient retention and meat quality in broilers fed on mould-contaminated feed. *British Poultry Science*, 52(2), 255–263.
87. Khan, F., & Zahoor, M. (2014). In vivo detoxification of aflatoxin B1 by magnetic carbon nanostructures prepared from bagasse. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 10, 255.

88. Zhao, Z. Y., Liu, N., Yang, L. C., Wang, J. H., Song, S. Q., Nie, D. X., et al. (2015). Cross-linked chitosan polymers as generic adsorbents for simultaneous adsorption of multiple mycotoxins. *Food Control*, 57, 362–369.
89. Mendoza, H. A., Guzman-De-Peña, D., González-Córdova, A. F., Vallejo-Córdova, B., & Garcia, H. S. (2010). In vivo assessment of the potential protective effect of *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota against aflatoxin B1. *Dairy Science & Technology*, 90(6), 729–740.
90. Zeng, Z., Zhang, Q., & Li, Y. (2009). In vitro binding of aflatoxin B1 by lactic acid bacteria. *Food Control*, 20(4), 359–362.
91. Wang, Y., Zhao, L., & Ma, Q. (2015). Adsorption and removal of fumonisin B1 by *Lactobacillus plantarum* B7 and *Lactobacillus pentosus* X8. *Food Control*, 47, 190–196.
92. Jalili, M., Jinap, S., & Noranizan, M. A. (2011). Effect of chemical treatment on reduction of aflatoxins in contaminated pistachio nuts. *Food Control*, 22(7), 1003–1008.
93. Dvorák, M., & Shibamoto, T. (1990). Reduction of aflatoxin B1 in corn by various chemical treatments. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 38(3), 720–725.
94. Javanmardi, F., Zarei, M., & Hadian, Z. (2020). Evaluation of alkaline hydrolysis for aflatoxin detoxification in contaminated animal feed. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 146, 111810.
95. Alencar, E. R., Faroni, L. R. A., Soares, N. D. F. F., & Silva, W. A. (2012). Ozone as a method for controlling insects and aflatoxins in stored maize. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, 16(7), 748–753.
96. Santos, M. A. Z., Oliveira, B. R., et al. (2018). Ozone application on mycotoxins degradation in food. *Food Chemistry*, 269, 224–229.
97. Hanafi, D., Farag, M. R., & Abdo, W. (2022). Biological detoxification of mycotoxins: mechanisms and applications. *Toxins*, 14(1), 45.
98. Chlebicz, A., Śliżewska, K. (2020). Aflatoxins biodegradation by probiotic and non-probiotic strains of lactic acid bacteria. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 12(3), 1056–1066.
99. Fang, H., Wang, L., et al. (2020). Degradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Aspergillus niger* RAF106. *Toxins*, 12(8), 507.
100. Qiu, T., Luo, Q., et al. (2021). Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Aspergillus niger* FS10. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(12), 6420.
101. Smiley, R. D., & Draughon, F. A. (2000). Preliminary evidence that degradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Flavobacterium aurantiacum* is enzymatic. *Journal of Food Protection*, 63(3), 415–418.
102. Lillehoj, E. B., & Ciegler, A. (1971). Microbial detoxification of aflatoxin B1. *Applied Microbiology*, 21(4), 984–989.
103. Line, J. E., Brackett, R. E. (1994). Factors affecting aflatoxin B1 degradation by *Flavobacterium aurantiacum* and *Mycobacterium fluoranthenorans*. *Journal of Food Protection*, 57(4), 325–328.
104. Samuel, M., Arulselvan, P., & Subramanian, S. (2014). Detoxification of aflatoxin B1 by probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* UTBSP1. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 30(2), 495–505.
105. Gao, X., Ma, Q., Zhao, L., Lei, Y., Shan, Y., Ji, C. (2011). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on growth performance, immune function and reduction of aflatoxin residues in broilers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 168(1–2), 79–85.
106. Xu, L., Eisa Ahmed, M., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Bacillus shackletonii* L7. *Toxins*, 9(10), 320.
107. Shu, X., Sun, Y., & Zhang, M. (2018). Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Bacillus velezensis* DY3108. *Journal of Food Safety*, 38(5), e12489.
108. Xia, X., Zhang, Y., & Wang, Y. (2017). Screening and identification of *Bacillus licheniformis* CFR1 with AFB1 biodegradation capacity. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 31(1), 120–125.
109. Raksha, R., Udipi, S. A., & Srinivasan, K. (2016). Aflatoxin degradation by *Bacillus subtilis* JSW-1. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 217, 85–91.
110. Danial, A. A., Sun, X., Wang, Y., & Ji, C. (2020). Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by *Pseudomonas putida*. *Toxicon*, 180, 1–8.
111. Wang, Y., Sun, X., et al. (2019). Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by lactic acid bacteria. *Food Chemistry*, 274, 578–586.
112. Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, L. (2021). Biodegradation of DON by *Devosia insulae* A16 and related strains. *Food Chemistry*, 346, 128920.
113. Zhai, Y., Zhu, Y., et al. (2019). DON degradation by soil bacterial strains. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 296, 20–28.
114. Gao, X., Ma, Q., Zhao, L., Lei, Y., Shan, Y., Ji, C. (2018). Biodegradation of deoxynivalenol by BBSH 797 and related isolates. *Toxins*, 10(12), 545.

115. Young, J. C., Fulcher, R. G., & Hayhoe, J. H. (2007). Transformation of DON by *Eggerthella* sp. DII-9. *Mycotoxin Research*, 23(3), 153–160.
116. Fucus, R. M., & Murphy, P. A. (2002). Detoxification of DON in animal feed by microbial enzymes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50(22), 6382–6386.
117. Jia, R., Ma, Q., et al. (2021). *Aspergillus* NJA-1 and *Bacillus subtilis* ASAG 216 for DON detoxification. *Journal of Food Science*, 86(1), 128–135.
118. He, J., & Zhou, T. (2008). Reduction of DON by *Bacillus subtilis* strains. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 80(5), 907–915.
119. Ju, J., Yang, B., & Yang, M. (2019). ZEN detoxification by *Bacillus subtilis*. *Food Control*, 106, 106715.
120. Lei, Y., Zhao, L., et al. (2014). ZEN biodegradation by *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB01G. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 5(1), 43.
121. Wang, Y., Ma, Q., & Ji, C. (2017). ZEN removal by *Bacillus pumilus* ES-21. *Toxins*, 9(3), 121.
122. Xu, L., Ahmed, M. E., et al. (2016). ZEN degradation by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ZDS-1. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 233, 1–6.
123. Styriak, I., & Conková, E. (2001). Fumonisin degradation by yeast strains. *Folia Microbiologica*, 46(2), 83–85.
124. Gamilo, M., Santos, M. C., & Matos, A. (2000). Biodegradation of FB1 by *Bacillus* spp. *Mycotoxin Research*, 16(1), 20–25.
125. Benedetti, R., Nazzi, F., & Locci, R. (2006). Soil bacteria NCB1492 degrade FB1. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(1), 77–84.
126. Awad, W. A., Ghareeb, K., & Böhm, J. (2013). The toxicological impacts of mycotoxins on poultry: Review. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 69(1), 59–74.
127. Alshafei, A. M., Gomaa, E. Z., & Kabeil, S. S. (2017). Use of probiotics in decontaminating aflatoxins in vitro and in vivo. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 17(1), 1–9.
128. Cao, H., Wang, J., Zhang, Q., et al. (2011). Enzymatic degradation of mycotoxins: Current status and future perspectives. *Toxins*, 3(8), 932–953.
129. Yao, H., Wang, H., Chen, H., & Zhang, Z. (2011). Influence of gut microflora on metabolism of mycotoxins in animals. *Journal of Food Safety*, 31(4), 501–508.
130. Yao, H., Xu, Z., & Li, Y. (2010). Aflatoxin detoxifzyme (ADTZ): A new enzyme for detoxification of aflatoxins. *Food Control*, 21(1), 106–110.
131. Yehia, R. S., Ahmed, M. E., & Mohamed, A. H. (2014). Manganese peroxidase production and its role in biodegradation of aflatoxin B1. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 3(3), 145–152.
132. Zhao, R., Ma, J., Li, L., & Jiang, C. (2011). Purification and characterization of a novel aflatoxin-degrading enzyme from *Myxococcus fulvus* ANSM068. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(6), 3492–3497.
133. Carere, J., Ma, Y., & Wang, G. (2018). Biochemical characterization of aldo-keto reductases involved in DON detoxification. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(6), e02700–17.
134. Wang, L., Li, P., Zhang, Q., et al. (2020). Functional identification of two NADPH-dependent reductases involved in DON detoxification. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 6213.
135. Karlovsky, P. (2004). Biological detoxification of fungal toxins and its use in plant breeding, feed and food production. *Natural Toxins*, 12(1), 1–14.
136. Viksoe, J., Olsson, L., & Tjerneld, F. (2009). Degradation of zearalenone using fungal laccase. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 45(3), 177–182.
137. Shcherbakova, L., Belyaeva, E., & Chistyakov, V. (2020). Expression of ZEN-specific lactonohydrolase in fungi and degradation efficiency. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology*, 56(1), 58–64.
138. Azam, M., Liu, Y., & Wu, A. (2019). Construction and characterization of recombinant fusion enzymes for complete degradation of zearalenone. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 1804.
139. Masching, S., Naehrer, K., & Dohnal, I. (2016). Detoxification of fumonisin B1 by carboxylesterase FumD in pigs and poultry. *Mycotoxin Research*, 32(4), 165–175.
140. Delwiche, S. R., Bean, M. M., & Graybosch, R. A. (2005). Effects of fungal infection on nutritional and chemical properties of wheat. *Cereal Chemistry*, 82(6), 645–649.
141. Sun, L. H., Lei, M. Y., Zhang, N. Y., Gao, X., Li, C., & Qi, D. S. (2016). Protective effects of sodium selenite against aflatoxin B1-induced immune injury in broiler chickens. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 48, 18–24.
142. Zhang, N. Y., Qi, M., Zhao, L., Zhu, M. K., Guo, J., Liu, J., ... & Sun, L. H. (2016). Curcumin prevents ochratoxin A-induced hepatotoxicity by inhibiting oxidative stress and apoptosis in ducks. *Journal of Animal Science*, 94(10), 4490–4500.
143. Ji, C., Li, L., Ma, Q. G., Zhang, J. Y., Luo, X. G., & Xu, L. (2019). Effects of modified palygorskite on aflatoxin B1 adsorption and growth performance in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 98(2), 706–715.

144. Klein, P. J., Buckner, R., & Burkhardt, J. E. (2002). Mechanism of butylated hydroxytoluene inhibition of aflatoxin B1 binding to DNA. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 185(2), 129–135.
145. Li, Y., Ma, Q. G., Zhao, L. H., & Guo, Y. M. (2014). Alpha-lipoic acid improves broiler antioxidant status under oxidative stress induced by aflatoxin B1. *Poultry Science*, 93(8), 1929–1936.
146. Grosse, Y., Baan, R. A., Ghissassi, F. E., & Straif, K. (1997). Carotenoids and cancer: A review. IARC Scientific Publications, (142), 45–79.
147. Atroshi, F., Rizzo, A., Westermarck, T., Ali-Vehmas, T., & Biese, I. (2010). The role of vitamins A, C, and E in counteracting the oxidative stress caused by ochratoxin A in broiler chicks. *Mycotoxin Research*, 26(1), 33–40.
148. Nagaraj, M., et al. (1994). Effect of thiamine supplementation on performance and Fusarium mycotoxin toxicity in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 73(11), 1749–1757.
149. Gradelet, S., Le Bon, A. M., Berges, R., Suschetet, M., & Astorg, P. O. (1998). Dietary carotenoids prevent aflatoxin B1-induced liver preneoplastic foci and DNA adducts in rats. *Nutrition and Cancer*, 32(1), 33–42.
150. Gao, X., Ma, Q., Zhao, L., Lei, Y., Han, J., & Ji, C. (2018). Protective effect of silymarin on zearalenone-induced oxidative stress in mice. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 57, 71–77.
151. Ledur, V. S., et al. (2020). Curcumin and silymarin supplementation modulates oxidative stress and inflammation induced by aflatoxin B1 in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 99(12), 6491–6500.
152. Cheng, Y., et al. (2020). Curcumin modulates intestinal oxidative stress, inflammatory response and barrier function in broilers exposed to aflatoxin B1. *Poultry Science*, 99(12), 6753–6763.
153. Ghadiri, N., et al. (2019). Quercetin protects bovine mammary epithelial cells against aflatoxin B1-induced cytotoxicity. *Toxicon*, 169, 55–63.
154. Sridhar, M., et al. (2015). Resveratrol supplementation improves growth and antioxidant status in broiler chickens during aflatoxicosis. *Poultry Science*, 94(8), 1807–1814.
155. Solcan, C., et al. (2013). Rhamnoides oil protects against aflatoxin B1-induced liver damage in broilers. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, 82(4), 393–399.
156. Andretta, I., et al. (2012). Effect of methionine on performance and oxidative stress in pigs challenged with deoxynivalenol. *Journal of Animal Science*, 90(10), 3212–3220.
156. Andretta, I., et al. (2012). Effect of methionine on performance and oxidative stress in pigs challenged with deoxynivalenol. *Journal of Animal Science*, 90(10), 3212–3220.
157. Chen, X., et al. (2013). Glutamic acid and arginine play protective roles against DON-induced toxicity in pigs. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 97(1), 39–49.
158. Liu, N., et al. (2018). Astragalus polysaccharides alleviate immunosuppression in mice exposed to deoxynivalenol. *Toxins*, 10(4), 146.
159. Wang, Y., et al. (2018). Soy isoflavones protect against zearalenone-induced reproductive toxicity in female rats. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 347, 26–33.
160. Lu, Y., et al. (1997). Effects of soy isoflavones on growth performance and immunity in gilts exposed to Fusarium toxins. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 69(1-3), 1–10.
161. Alshafei, A., et al. (2015). Effect of essential oils on *Aspergillus flavus* growth and aflatoxin B1 production. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 17(3), 590–596.
162. Luo, Y., et al. (2005). Antifungal activity of citral and its impact on aflatoxin production. *Journal of Food Protection*, 68(2), 287–292.
163. Yin, Y., et al. (2020). Effect of cinnamaldehyde and citric acid on fungal growth and aflatoxin biosynthesis. *Food Control*, 118, 107447.