Saudi Journal of Chemistry and Materials Science
(*Saudi J. Chem. Mater. Sci.*)
https://www.saudipublications.com/index.php/sjcm/index

OxyGenHome: A Techno-Economic Feasibility Study of a Smart Microalgae-Based Indoor Air Purification System for the Egyptian Mass Market.

Karim Hafez Ahmed[1], **Hadeer H. Amin**[2], **Elham F. Mohamed**[2]
[1]*Department of Political Science and Economics, Beni-Suef University, Egypt*
[2]*Air Pollution Research Department, Environment and Climate Change Research Institute, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt*

ABSTRACT

This study presents a techno-economic feasibility assessment of OxyGenHome, a novel Egypt-specific integrated concept for residential indoor air purification that combines microalgae photobioreactor technology with artificial intelligence (AI) monitoring and Internet-of-Things (IoT) sensing. The study is motivated by a documented indoor air quality (IAQ) challenge: field observations across 50 residential units in Greater Cairo and Beni-Suef in June 2026 recorded CO_2 concentrations ranging between 900 and 1,200 ppm, substantially exceeding the ASHRAE ventilation guideline of 400–600 ppm. Existing commercial solutions available in the Egyptian market address particulate filtration only, and are fundamentally incapable of CO_2 absorption or oxygen generation. Cairo's annual PM2.5 of 39.9 µg/m³ in 2025 — approximately eight times the WHO guideline of 5 µg/m³ — further contextualises the severity of the air quality problem (IQAir, 2025).

The proposed system employs Chlorella vulgaris in a 10–15-litre photobioreactor. Prototype-level performance estimates, derived from literature benchmarks and preliminary field trials, indicate CO_2 absorption of 40–80 g/day and O_2 production of approximately 30–60 L/day, pending formal validation through planned beta trials (Han et al., 2023; Chisti, 2007; Singh & Sharma, 2012). In this revised edition, oxygen output is estimated computationally from the measured CO_2 drawdown rate via stoichiometric conversion rather than measured directly with a dedicated sensor — a design change detailed in Section 4.4 that removes EGP 920 of hardware cost per unit. The device operates at 0.72 kWh/day, equivalent to EGP 0.77/day at the prevailing Egyptian residential electricity tariff of EGP 1.07/kWh (EgyptERA, 2025). Spent biomass is processed as organic fertiliser, supporting a zero-waste circular economy model.

The techno-economic model, constructed at USD/EGP 51.8 (Xe, June 9, 2026), indicates conditional commercial viability under a verified cost-of-goods-sold (COGS) reduction path. Removing the O_2 sensor and re-optimising the bill of materials reduces raw BOM COGS from EGP 6,065 to EGP 5,345, and optimised baseline COGS from EGP 4,500 to EGP 3,780. In the baseline scenario — 500 units per year at EGP 7,000 — the revised model yields a total revenue gross margin of 50.0% and net profit of EGP 597,500 (net margin 15.9%), a substantial improvement over the prior edition's EGP 237,500. Break-even is estimated at approximately 385 units per year, with payback on capital expenditure of approximately 2.1 years under the baseline ramp. An optimistic scenario (2,000 units/year) yields net profit of EGP 8,812,000 (53.9% margin); a pessimistic scenario (200 units/year) remains loss-making at EGP (837,000). Sensitivity analysis identifies COGS per unit and sales volume as the primary profitability drivers. A SWOT analysis, an updated risk register, and a 36-month phased operating model are included to assess strategic and commercial risk. This revision also introduces a dedicated regulatory and compliance pathway for the Egyptian market (Section 6.3), covering EEAA environmental certification, product-safety standards, import clearance, biological-material handling, legal structure, and university research partnerships for algae supply. The study concludes with a recommendation for a 50-unit controlled beta pilot in Q3 2026 to validate prototype and computational-estimation performance and de-risk the financial assumptions.

All technical performance figures reported herein are prototype-level estimates derived from literature benchmarks and preliminary observations and should be interpreted as provisional until validated through the planned beta programme. Financial projections are scenario-based and subject to the assumptions stated in Section 2.

Keywords: *OxyGenHome · Microalgae Photobioreactor · Indoor Air Quality · Circular Economy · Chlorella vulgaris · Techno-Economic Analysis · Green Innovation · Egyptian Market · AI-Powered Purification · CO_2 Fixation · Sustainable Technology.*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Background and Research Context

Air pollution constitutes one of the most pressing environmental and public health challenges in contemporary Egypt. Cairo recorded an annual average PM2.5 concentration of 39.9 µg/m³ in 2025 — approximately eight times the WHO ambient air quality guideline of 5 µg/m³ — placing it among the most polluted major urban centres globally (IQAir, 2025). Indoor air quality (IAQ) is frequently subject to severe degradation, exhibiting concentrations of diverse gaseous pollutants that exceed outdoor levels by three to one hundred times.(1,2)

Corresponding author emails: kareemhafez233@gmail.com (Karim Hafez Ahmed)
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/SJCMS2026.1.1.27 ***Saudi J. Chem. Mater. Sci., 1 (1), 52-73 (2026)***
Received Date: 01 August 2026. Revised date: 15 August 2026. Accept Date: 29 August 2026

The World Bank (2025) estimates that the annual economic cost of air pollution in Egypt is approximately 1.4% of GDP, with approximately 12,600 premature deaths attributable to PM2.5 exposure annually.

While outdoor air pollution has received increasing policy attention — including a World Bank and EEAA partnership that reduced agricultural burning incidents in Greater Cairo by nearly two-thirds between 2024 and 2025 (World Bank, 2026) — indoor air quality (IAQ) remains considerably under-addressed in the Egyptian context. CAPMAS (2025) data indicate that urban Egyptian residents spend more than 90% of daily time in enclosed spaces. Preliminary field measurements conducted in June 2026 across 50 residential units in Greater Cairo and Beni-Suef recorded indoor CO_2 concentrations in the range of 900–1,200 ppm, substantially exceeding the ASHRAE standard of 400–600 ppm and the outdoor atmospheric baseline of approximately 420 ppm. Elevated indoor CO_2 above 1,000 ppm is empirically associated with reduced cognitive performance, headache, fatigue, and, at sustained exposure levels, elevated cardiovascular and respiratory risk (U.S. EPA, 2023; WHO, 2022).

The commercial market for indoor air purification in Egypt is currently served exclusively by HEPA-filter-based devices (Dyson, Xiaomi, Philips, Levoit, Samsung), priced between EGP 4,500 and EGP 14,000. These devices address particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10) and some volatile organic compounds, but are fundamentally incapable of absorbing CO_2 or generating fresh oxygen. Annual filter replacement requirements generate EGP 900–1,500 in recurring costs and significant plastic waste per device (Mann+Hummel, 2021). No commercially available product in the Egyptian residential market currently offers a biological or biochemical approach to CO_2 reduction.

Mitigating indoor air pollution is essential for safeguarding occupant health. Primary intervention strategies encompass source control, optimized ventilation design, and active purification technologies. While methods span traditional physicochemical processes to sustainable biological treatments, biofiltration has emerged as particularly advantageous across environmental, economic, and social dimensions (Yaman et al., 2026). Within this framework, microalgae represent a highly viable biological approach for indoor air quality (IAQ) remediation

The use of microalgae photobioreactors (PBRs) for indoor air quality improvement has been explored in academic literature and in a small number of architectural and laboratory contexts globally. Studies including Han et al. (2023) have demonstrated measurable CO_2 drawdown in enclosed spaces using Spirulina maxima in small-scale PBR configurations. Kumar et al. (2023) conducted a comparative review of conventional and microalgae-based air purifiers, identifying the latter as a promising direction for sustainable indoor environments. Dalay and Aytas (2024) examined algae-integrated architecture as a vehicle for CO_2 capture in building-scale applications. However, no prior published study has assessed the techno-economic feasibility of a microalgae-IoT residential air purification device specifically for the Egyptian market, nor has any prior work integrated consumer willingness-to-pay analysis, local component cost verification, and phased commercialisation modelling in a single framework. This study addresses that gap.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study presents a techno-economic feasibility assessment of OxyGenHome, a novel Egypt-specific integrated concept for microalgae-based residential IAQ management. The research addresses four primary questions:

1. Technical Feasibility: Can a functional OxyGenHome prototype be assembled from Egyptian-market components, and what are its prototype-level performance estimates for CO_2 absorption, O_2 generation, and organic fertiliser production?
2. Economic Feasibility: What are the CAPEX and OPEX requirements? At what selling price and sales volume does the project reach break-even, and what is the estimated payback period under the baseline scenario, following the removal of the discrete O_2 sensor and associated COGS reduction?
3. Market Positioning: How does OxyGenHome compare to currently available competitors on price, performance, and 3-year total cost of ownership, and what is the addressable market size in the Egyptian urban consumer segment?
4. Scenario Robustness: How sensitive are financial outcomes to changes in COGS, exchange rate, sales volume, and electricity tariff, and under what conditions does the project remain commercially viable following the O_2 sensor removal and computational estimation substitution?

1.3 Paper Structure

Section 2 presents the research design and methodology, including measurement protocols, sensor calibration procedures, and modelling assumptions. Section 3 provides market and demand analysis. Section 4 details the technical and engineering specification. Section 5 develops the financial model and scenario analysis, including a 36-month phased operating model. Section 6 presents a SWOT analysis, risk register, and the regulatory and compliance pathway for Egypt. Section 7 concludes with strategic recommendations. Appendices cover financial model parameters (A), technical performance benchmarks (B), the 36-month operating model (C), a glossary of acronyms (D), and a regulatory pathway executive summary (E).

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods feasibility assessment design to evaluate the technical, economic, and market viability of OxyGenHome as a novel Egypt-specific microalgae-based residential air purification concept. The research design integrates three complementary components: secondary-data benchmarking, primary field data collection, and techno-economic modelling. This triangulated approach was selected to ensure that the proposed system is assessed not only from an engineering and performance perspective, but also from a market-access and commercialisation standpoint. The methodology is exploratory and applied in orientation; the objective is not to establish causal inference, but to determine whether the proposed system can achieve technical plausibility and economic viability under realistic Egyptian market conditions.

2.1 Research Design

The study follows an applied feasibility-assessment framework structured around three analytical layers: (1) a technical layer, evaluating whether the system can be constructed and operated within the constraints of the Egyptian market; (2) an economic layer, modelling costs, revenues, and profitability under explicit and transparent assumptions; and (3) a market layer, assessing consumer demand, competitive positioning, and addressable market size. The design is explicitly exploratory, and all performance estimates are treated as provisional pending validation through the planned beta programme described in Section 7. The study does not claim to provide definitive proof of commercial viability; rather, it identifies the conditions under which viability is plausible and the critical uncertainties that must be resolved through further empirical work.

2.2 Secondary Data Sources

Secondary data were collected from authoritative national and international sources to establish the contextual, economic, and technical baseline for the analysis. Egyptian market and demographic data were drawn from the Central Agency for Public Mobilisation and Statistics (CAPMAS, 2025). Energy tariff data were sourced from the Egyptian Electricity Regulatory Authority (EgyptERA, 2025). Market size and consumer electronics data were sourced from Mordor Intelligence (2026) and Grand View Research (2024). Environmental and public health context was established using IQAir (2025), the World Bank (2025, 2026), and the Ministry of Environment (2023). Microalgae performance benchmarks were derived from peer-reviewed literature, including Chisti (2007), Singh and Sharma (2012), Han et al. (2023), Kumar et al. (2015, 2023), Bharathiraja et al. (2015), and Uguz et al. (2024). All externally sourced figures were cross-referenced against multiple sources wherever possible to improve consistency and reduce reliance on any single estimate.

2.3 Primary Data Collection

Primary data were gathered through two parallel field activities conducted in Greater Cairo and Beni-Suef during June 1–15, 2026.

First, a structured consumer survey was administered via Google Forms to a sample of adult household decision-makers in urban areas of Greater Cairo (Cairo, Giza, and Qalyubia governorates) and Beni-Suef. The sample was constructed to capture variation across income brackets, household composition, and self-reported technology familiarity. Respondents were recruited through a combination of community networks and social media outreach, targeting households with monthly income exceeding EGP 8,000 as the primary addressable segment. The survey instrument comprised closed-ended and numeric-response items measuring: (a) indoor air quality awareness and symptom prevalence; (b) willingness to pay (WTP) for a novel eco-technological air purification device; (c) feature preference ranking; and (d) attitudes toward locally manufactured products. Descriptive statistics and central tendency measures were used for analysis. The sampling approach is best characterised as purposive rather than strictly probabilistic, and findings should be interpreted as indicative of consumer sentiment within the targeted income segment rather than nationally representative estimates.

Second, preliminary indoor CO_2 measurements were conducted in 50 residential units to establish a field-based baseline of indoor air conditions in the study area. The measurement subsystem and calibration procedures are described in Section 2.4 below. These measurements were collected as exploratory field evidence to test the empirical relevance of the proposed intervention, and are not intended to constitute an epidemiological dataset or statistically representative national survey.

2.4 Sensor Selection, Calibration, and Measurement Protocol

Indoor CO_2 concentrations were measured using MH-Z19B nondispersive infrared (NDIR) sensors, a widely documented low-cost sensing platform for continuous indoor CO_2 monitoring with a specified measurement range of 400–5,000 ppm and a manufacturer-stated accuracy of ±50 ppm + 5% of the reading value. NDIR technology was selected over electrochemical and metal oxide alternatives on the basis of its superior long-term stability, lower susceptibility to humidity cross-sensitivity, and suitability for deployment in typical Egyptian residential conditions (ambient temperature 20–40°C, relative humidity 30–70%).

Prior to field deployment, all sensors were subjected to a pre-deployment calibration and verification procedure. Calibration consisted of: (i) a 24-hour warm-up period at stable ambient conditions; (ii) a zero-point verification against the outdoor atmospheric CO_2 baseline of approximately 420 ppm in an open, well-ventilated area; and (iii) three repeated readings at each of two known reference conditions (outdoor ambient and a room with confirmed occupancy history) to verify internal consistency. Sensors showing inter-reading variation greater than ±80 ppm were excluded from the study. Readings were recorded only after a minimum five-minute sensor stabilisation period following placement in each room, to minimise transient fluctuations associated with sensor transport and temperature adjustment. Each unit was measured for a minimum of 15 minutes, with readings logged at 30-second intervals and the median value reported per unit. Temperature and relative humidity were recorded simultaneously using DHT22 sensors (specified accuracy: ±0.5°C, ±2.5% RH) to contextualise the CO_2 readings and identify potential confounders.

Measurement conditions were standardised as far as practicable. Measurements were collected during active occupancy periods (daytime, 09:00–17:00) with windows and external doors closed for a minimum of 30 minutes prior to measurement to simulate typical residential air containment conditions. Room volume was estimated from floor plan dimensions provided by residents or from field measurement. Ventilation status, occupancy count, and presence of active cooking or heating equipment were recorded as covariates. The measurements are treated herein as indicative field observations under exploratory conditions and are subject to the limitations described in Section 2.7. They should be interpreted as field-based indicators of the indoor air quality problem rather than as clinically certified environmental measurements.

2.5 Techno-Economic Modelling

A techno-economic model was developed to estimate the commercial feasibility of OxyGenHome under three adoption scenarios: pessimistic, baseline, and optimistic. The model incorporated capital expenditure (CAPEX), unit cost structure (COGS), fixed operating expenditure (OPEX), recurring revenue streams, break-even volume, payback period, and scenario-based profitability analysis. In addition to the static annual model, a 36-month phased monthly operating model was constructed to capture pilot ramp-up dynamics, learning effects on unit economics, working capital requirements, and the timing of cash-flow break-even. The 36-month model is presented in Appendix C.

Unit cost estimates were derived from a bill of materials (Table 4) based on field-verified component prices from Cairo electronics markets (Abd El Khalek Tharwat Street, Downtown Cairo; Beni-Suef electronics suppliers) and Egyptian e-commerce platforms (Jumia, Amazon.eg) in June 2026. Import duties of 15% were applied to all foreign-sourced electronic components. Revenue projections incorporate device sales as the primary stream, supplemented by nutrient solution subscriptions and algae culture replacement kits as recurring ancillary streams from Year 2 onward.

2.6 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework combined descriptive statistics, TAM/SAM/SOM market sizing, feature-comparison competitive analysis, total cost of ownership (TCO) calculation, unit economics modelling, and multi-scenario sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis was designed to identify which input variables exert the greatest influence on net profitability, and to evaluate the robustness of the financial model under adverse conditions. Scenario probability weighting was not applied; the three scenarios (pessimistic, baseline, optimistic) are presented as bounding cases rather than probabilistic estimates.

2.7 Assumptions, Limitations, and Scope

The study operates under a set of explicit assumptions that readers should consider when interpreting the results. Technical performance estimates are derived from literature benchmarks and preliminary prototype observations, and should be treated as provisional. The financial model assumes stable regulatory conditions, consistent import access for electronic components, and successful execution of the supply-chain optimisation required to reduce COGS from the raw bill-of-materials figure of EGP 5,345 to the targeted EGP 3,780 (revised from EGP 6,065 to EGP 4,500 in the prior edition, following removal of the discrete O_2 sensor). This COGS reduction is a structural pre-condition for the baseline scenario to be viable, not an assured outcome. Consumer WTP estimates are based on a purposive survey and may not be fully representative of the broader Egyptian urban population. Field CO_2 measurements were collected under exploratory conditions with consumer-grade sensors and do not constitute certified environmental monitoring data. All financial projections should be interpreted as indicative of potential viability under stated conditions rather than as definitive forecasts.

2.8 Ethical Considerations

The consumer survey was administered with informed verbal consent, and no personally identifiable information was collected or retained. All survey data were analysed in aggregated form to preserve respondent anonymity. The field CO_2 measurements were conducted in residential units with the explicit permission of occupants and did not involve any clinical intervention, biological sample collection, or sensitive personal data. The project's commercial interest is declared transparently in the Declarations section in accordance with standard research ethics and conflict-of-interest practice.

Table A1. OxyGenHome Complete Financial Model Parameters -Revised v4.0 (July 2026)

Parameter	Pessimistic	Baseline	Optimistic
Units sold (year)	200	500	2,000
Device selling price (EGP)	6,000	7,000	8,000
Optimised COGS/unit (EGP)	4,080	3,780	3,024
Raw BOM COGS (pre-optimisation, EGP)	5,345	5,345	5,345
Unit gross margin (%) — optimised	32.0%	46.0%	62.2%
Total revenue gross margin (%)	34.2%	50.0%	63.1%
Fixed OPEX (EGP/year)	1,266,000	1,266,000	1,500,000
Net profit / (loss) (EGP/year)	(837,000)	597,500	8,812,000
Break-even volume (units/year)	N/A (loss)	~385 units	~270 units
Payback period	N/A	~2.1 years	~2 months
USD/EGP rate	51.8	51.8	51.8
Electricity tariff (EGP/kWh)	1.07	1.07	1.07
Annual device operating cost (EGP)	281	281	281
Import duty on electronics	15%	15%	15%
Total CAPEX (EGP)	1,162,500	1,162,500	1,162,500

APPENDIX B. TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE BENCHMARK CROSS-STUDY COMPARISON

Table B1. Microalgae PBR Performance — Cross-Study Comparison and OxyGenHome Positioning

Study / Source	Algae Species	CO_2 Reduction	O_2 Production	Conditions
Han et al. (2023)	Spirulina maxima	~55% in 0.064 m³	Not directly measured	~1,100 ppm ambient, 30-day trial
Chisti (2007)	Chlorella sp.	Indirect (via biomass)	Proportional to growth	Closed PBR, optimised light
Pyramidal PBR (ScienceDirect, Mar 2026)	C. vulgaris + S. acuminatus	Acceptable IAQ maintained	Enhanced fixation confirmed	1,200 ppm, 8 h occupancy
Uguz et al. (2024)	Ankistrodesmus sp.	70.8% CO_2 mitigation	Not primary focus	Agricultural (broiler house)
OxyGenHome (Jun 2026, prototype)	Chlorella vulgaris	30–55% est. in 50 m³	30–60 L/day est. (computational, Section 4.4)	Prototype trials, Greater Cairo/Beni-Suef

Note: OxyGenHome values are prototype estimates. Peer-reviewed validation from the 50-unit beta trial (Q3–Q4 2026) will replace these in Version 5.0

APPENDIX C. 36-MONTH PHASED OPERATING MODEL (FULL MONTHLY CASH FLOW)

The table below applies the revised optimised COGS of EGP 3,780/unit, fixed OPEX of EGP 105,500/month, variable marketing cost of 4% of total revenue, and a warranty provision of 2% of device revenue. Recurring subscription and replacement-kit revenue is modelled as commencing six months after initial sale. Cumulative cash flow is initialised at −EGP 1,162,500 (Table 6 CAPEX).

Table C1. OxyGenHome 36-Month Phased Operating Model — Full Monthly Cash Flow, Revised COGS (v4.0)

Mo.	Units	Cum. Units	Total Revenue (EGP)	COGS (EGP)	OPEX+Var. Costs (EGP)	Net Cash Flow (EGP)	Cumulative CF (EGP)
1	8	8	56,000	30,240	108,860	(83,100)	(1,245,600)
2	8	16	56,000	30,240	108,860	(83,100)	(1,328,700)

3	8	24	56,000	30,240	108,860	(83,100)	(1,411,800)
4	15	39	105,000	56,700	111,800	(63,500)	(1,475,300)
5	15	54	105,000	56,700	111,800	(63,500)	(1,538,800)
6	15	69	105,000	56,700	111,800	(63,500)	(1,602,300)
7	25	94	175,338	94,500	116,014	(35,176)	(1,637,476)
8	25	119	175,676	94,500	116,027	(34,851)	(1,672,327)
9	25	144	176,014	94,500	116,041	(34,527)	(1,706,854)
10	25	169	176,648	94,500	116,066	(33,918)	(1,740,772)
11	25	194	177,282	94,500	116,091	(33,309)	(1,774,081)
12	25	219	177,915	94,500	116,117	(32,702)	(1,806,783)
13	35	254	248,972	132,300	120,359	(3,687)	(1,810,470)
14	35	289	250,028	132,300	120,401	(2,673)	(1,813,143)
15	35	324	251,084	132,300	120,443	(1,659)	(1,814,802)
16	35	359	252,140	132,300	120,486	(646)	(1,815,448)
17	35	394	253,197	132,300	120,528	369	(1,815,079)
18	35	429	254,253	132,300	120,570	1,383	(1,813,696)
19	45	474	325,732	170,100	124,829	30,803	(1,782,893)
20	45	519	327,210	170,100	124,888	32,222	(1,750,671)
21	45	564	328,689	170,100	124,948	33,641	(1,717,030)
22	45	609	330,168	170,100	125,007	35,061	(1,681,969)
23	45	654	331,647	170,100	125,066	36,481	(1,645,488)
24	45	699	333,125	170,100	125,125	37,900	(1,607,588)
25	55	754	405,027	207,900	129,401	67,726	(1,539,862)
26	55	809	406,928	207,900	129,477	69,551	(1,470,311)
27	55	864	408,829	207,900	129,553	71,376	(1,398,935)
28	55	919	410,730	207,900	129,629	73,201	(1,325,734)
29	55	974	412,632	207,900	129,705	75,027	(1,250,707)
30	55	1029	414,533	207,900	129,781	76,852	(1,173,855)
31	65	1094	486,857	245,700	134,074	107,083	(1,066,772)
32	65	1159	489,180	245,700	134,167	109,313	(957,459)
33	65	1224	491,504	245,700	134,260	111,544	(845,915)
34	65	1289	493,828	245,700	134,353	113,775	(732,140)
35	65	1354	496,152	245,700	134,446	116,006	(616,134)
36	65	1419	498,475	245,700	134,539	118,236	(497,898)

Model output: first month of positive monthly net cash flow is Month 17. Cumulative free cash flow remains negative through Month 36 under the baseline ramp (final cumulative position: EGP (497,898)), confirming that bridge financing is required as noted in Section 5.6 and Table 15, Recommendation 3.

APPENDIX D. GLOSSARY OF KEY TECHNICAL, FINANCIAL, AND REGULATORY ACRONYMS

Table D1. OxyGenHome Glossary of Key Acronyms — Standalone (Revised v4.0)

Acronym	Definition
AI	Artificial Intelligence — machine learning optimisation of algae culture parameters (light, aeration, harvest timing).
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers — sets indoor CO_2 safe limit: 400–600 ppm.
BG-11	Blue-Green medium formulation 11 — standard nutrient medium for Chlorella vulgaris; modified version used in OxyGenHome.
BNPL	Buy Now, Pay Later — Egyptian fintech payment infrastructure reducing the EGP 7,000 purchase barrier.
BOM	Bill of Materials — Table 4. Raw BOM COGS: EGP 5,345 (revised). Optimised target: EGP 3,780.
BEP	Break-Even Point — sales volume where revenue equals total costs. Baseline: ~385 units/year (revised).
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure — one-time investment costs; EGP 1,162,500 total (Table 6).
CAPMAS	Central Agency for Public Mobilisation and Statistics — Egypt's official national statistics body.
COGS	Cost of Goods Sold — direct manufacturing cost. Raw: EGP 5,345 → Optimised: EGP 3,780 →

	Scale: EGP 3,024.
EEAA	Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency — green product certification and environmental compliance.
EgyptERA	Electric Utility and Consumer Protection Regulatory Agency — current tariff: EGP 1.07/kWh (Sep 2025).
GAFI	General Authority for Investment — Egyptian body for company/LLC registration.
GOEIC	General Organization for Export and Import Control — issues import permits for electronic components.
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality — measurable parameters including CO_2, PM2.5, VOCs, humidity, temperature.
IoT	Internet of Things — ESP32-connected sensor network for real-time monitoring and AI-driven optimisation.
NBC	National Biosafety Committee — issues biosafety clearance for biological agents in consumer products.
OPEX	Operating Expenditure — recurring annual costs. Fixed OPEX (excl. COGS): EGP 1,266,000/year (Table 7).
PBR	Photobioreactor — closed vessel for controlled microalgae cultivation; 10–15-litre borosilicate glass in OxyGenHome.
ppm	Parts per million — CO_2 concentration unit. Egyptian indoor average: 900–1,200 ppm; ASHRAE limit: 400–600 ppm.
SAM	Serviceable Addressable Market — ~4.5 million Egyptian urban households with income > EGP 10,000/month.
SOM	Serviceable Obtainable Market — 3-year target: 4,500 units/year (0.1% of SAM).
SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats — strategic framework; Table 13 (revised).
TAM	Total Addressable Market — all urban Egyptian households; ~11.3 million.
WTP	Willingness to Pay — consumer price acceptance; survey mean: EGP 6,850.

APPENDIX E. REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE PATHWAY — EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Domain	Requirement	Authority	Timeline	Est. Cost (EGP)
Environmental	EIA + Green Product Certification	EEAA	8–14 weeks (combined)	8,000
Product safety	ES 7545 (electrical) + ES 7550 (IAQ devices)	NIS / EOS	6–8 weeks	10,000
Import	GOEIC import permit for electronic components	GOEIC	2 weeks	2,000
Biosafety	Clearance for live Chlorella vulgaris use	NBC	4–6 weeks	3,000
Legal entity	LLC registration	GAFI	~6 weeks	18,000 (CAPEX)
IP	Utility patent filing	Egyptian Patent Office	~6 months processing	22,000 (CAPEX)
IP	Trademark registration	Egyptian Trademark Office	Varies	5,000
Insurance	Product liability + professional indemnity	Private insurer	2 weeks	8,000

Total non-CAPEX regulatory and compliance cost: approximately EGP 28,000–50,000. Recommended sequencing: initiate all applications at the start of the Pilot phase (Table 10, Months 1–3).

3. MARKET AND DEMAND ANALYSIS

3.1 Egyptian Household Appliances Market Context

The Egyptian household appliances market was valued at USD 2.72 billion in 2025, projected at USD 2.83 billion in 2026 at a CAGR of 3.95% through 2031 (Mordor Intelligence, 2026). Digital wallets reached 46.3 million accounts in Q2 2025, a 29% year-on-year increase (Mordor Intelligence, 2026). BNPL infrastructure (Fawry EGP 653M portfolio, Q3 2024) is expanding, directly lowering the purchase barrier for OxyGenHome's EGP 6,000–8,000 price range. Egypt's 117 million population includes a predominantly young demographic (25–34 years is the largest appliance-buying cohort), with 43.4% urban and ~400,000 new households formed annually (Grand View Research, 2024).

3.2 Target Market Sizing

Table 2. OxyGenHome TAM / SAM / SOM Market Sizing — June 2026

Market Indicator	Value	Source
Total Egyptian households	~26 million	CAPMAS, 2025
Urban household share	43.4%	Grand View Research, 2024
Urban households (TAM)	~11.3 million	Calculated
SAM: Income > EGP 10,000/month	~4.5 million (est.)	Survey 2026; World Bank 2025
SOM (3-year target: 0.1% SAM)	4,500 units/year	Strategic target
Year 1 Baseline Target	500 units/year	Financial model

3.3 Consumer Willingness-to-Pay (WTP) Analysis

Survey results (N = 500, June 2026):

- 68% reported IAQ-related symptoms (headache, fatigue, respiratory sensitivity) in the preceding 30 days.
- Mean WTP for an AI-enabled CO_2-absorbing purifier: EGP 6,850 (range EGP 3,200–14,500; median EGP 6,500).
- Households with children under 5 or chronic respiratory disease members: mean WTP EGP 8,200 — a premium segment.
- 72% ranked "no recurring filter cost" as a top-3 desired feature.
- 61% expressed preference for locally manufactured products at equivalent price.

3.4 Competitive Landscape Analysis

Table 3. OxyGenHome Competitive Positioning Matrix — Egyptian Market, June 2026

Feature	OxyGenHome	Dyson Pure Cool	Xiaomi Mi Air	Philips AC2889	Levoit Core	Samsung AX90
Price (EGP, Jun 2026)	7,000	14,000	4,500	8,000	6,500	12,000
Technology	Algae+AI/IoT	HEPA+Carbon	HEPA+Carbon	HEPA+Carbon	HEPA+Carbon	HEPA+Carbon
CO_2 Absorption	40–80 g/day	No	No	No	No	No
O_2 Generation	30–60 L/day	No	No	No	No	No
Organic Fertiliser	50–100 g/wk	No	No	No	No	No
Annual Maintenance (EGP)	~720	1,500+	900	1,200	850	1,400
3-Year Total Cost (EGP)	9,160	18,500+	7,200	11,600	9,050	16,200
Carbon Footprint	Net Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative

Feature	OxyGenHome	Dyson Pure Cool	Xiaomi Mi Air	Philips AC2889	Levoit Core	Samsung AX90
Local Manufacture	Egypt-Made	Imported	Imported	Imported	Imported	Imported

Note: 3-year total cost = device price + (3 × annual maintenance). OxyGenHome maintenance = nutrient solution only (EGP 60/month). Xiaomi at EGP 7,200 total is the sole lower-TCO competitor but provides no CO_2, O_2, or fertiliser benefit.

4. TECHNICAL AND ENGINEERING DESIGN

4.1 System Architecture

OxyGenHome comprises four integrated sub-systems: (1) biological core — 10–15-litre borosilicate photobioreactor vessel cultivating Chlorella vulgaris in BG-11 nutrient medium; (2) illumination — full-spectrum LED panels at 430–680 nm; (3) aeration — 2W silent diaphragm pump with microbubble diffuser; (4) IoT unit — ESP32 microcontroller with MH-Z19B CO_2 and DHT22 sensors, WiFi-enabled AI mobile monitoring. Core biochemistry: $6CO_2 + 6H_2O + \text{light} \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$. Excess biomass is harvested weekly, dewatered, dried, and packaged as organic fertiliser, completing the zero-waste circular economy loop.

4.2 Bill of Materials and Component Pricing

All prices verified from Cairo electronics markets and Egyptian e-commerce platforms in June 2026. Imported components converted at USD/EGP 51.8 with 15% import duty.

Table 4. OxyGenHome Bill of Materials — Revised (O_2 Sensor Removed), Egyptian Market, June–July 2026

Component	Specification	Qty	Unit Price (EGP)	Sub-total (EGP)	Source
Photobioreactor vessel	Borosilicate glass, 15L	1	320	320	Local (Cairo)
Diaphragm air pump	2W, 3 L/min, silent	1	190	190	Local (aquarium)
Microbubble diffuser	Fine bubble disk, Ø10cm	2	35	70	Local (aquarium)
Full-spectrum LED panels	20W, 430–680nm, dimmable	2	420	840	Local (electronics)
ESP32 microcontroller	WiFi+BT, 240MHz, 4MB	1	405	405	Imported (15% duty)
CO_2 NDIR sensor	MH-Z19B, 400–5,000 ppm	1	1,380	1,380	Imported (15% duty)
Temp/humidity sensor	DHT22, −40 to +80°C	1	175	175	Imported (15% duty)
LCD display 16×2 I2C	Backlit, I2C interface	1	230	230	Imported (15% duty)
ABS outer casing	Recycled ABS, 3D-printed	1	450	450	Local (3D print)
12V/5A switching PSU	CE-certified	1	280	280	Local (electronics)
Chlorella vulgaris starter	Research-grade, TISTR 8580 equiv.	1	580	580	Cairo Univ. / Ain Shams
BG-11 nutrient solution (3 mo.)	Modified BG-11	1 pkg	175	175	Local synthesis
Packaging,	Box, bubble wrap, test	1	250	250	Local

Component	Specification	Qty	Unit Price (EGP)	Sub-total (EGP)	Source
assembly, QC	run	set			

Total COGS per Unit — Raw BOM (pre-optimisation): 5,345 EGP
Optimised COGS Target (500+ units — bulk purchasing + assembly efficiency): 3,780 EGP
Note: Raw COGS reduced from EGP 6,065 to EGP 5,345 (saving EGP 720, from removal of the O_2 sensor). Optimised COGS reduced from EGP 4,500 to EGP 3,780 at 500+ units. Target at 2,000 units: EGP 3,024. USD/EGP 51.8 throughout, 15% import duty on foreign-sourced components.

4.3 Expected System Performance

Table 5. OxyGenHome Expected Performance Metrics — Empirical Grounding, Revised v4.0

Performance Metric	Value (Estimated)	Scientific Basis
Biomass productivity	0.5–1.0 g/L/day	Chisti (2007) — Chlorella in closed PBR
CO_2 absorption rate	40–80 g/day	Singh & Sharma (2012); Han et al. (2023)
O_2 production rate	30–60 L/day	Stoichiometric ratio: 1 mol CO_2 → 1 mol O_2 (computational estimate, Section 4.4)
CO_2 reduction in 50 m³ room	30–55% within 8 h	Han et al. (2023); PBR volume scaling
Organic fertiliser yield	50–100 g dried/week	OxyGenHome prototype trials, June 2026
Power consumption	30 W/h (0.72 kWh/day)	BOM component specifications
Daily electricity cost (EGP)	EGP 0.77/day	0.72 kWh × EGP 1.07 (EgyptERA, Sep 2025)
Annual energy operating cost	~EGP 281/year	EGP 0.77/day × 365 days
Culture lifespan	3–6 months (weekly harvest)	Bharathiraja et al. (2015)

O_2 production is now a computationally-derived estimate (Section 4.4) rather than a directly-sensed value. The estimated range is unchanged (30–60 L/day) pending beta-pilot validation against the algorithm's ±15% accuracy target.

4.4 Computational O_2 Estimation Algorithm

The OxyGenHome system estimates oxygen (O_2) production computationally rather than measuring it directly with a physical sensor. This approach reduces hardware cost while maintaining accurate performance tracking for the user-facing application and internal analytics.

4.4.1 Algorithm Logic

The MH-Z19B NDIR CO_2 sensor continuously measures indoor CO_2 concentration (ppm) at 30-second intervals. The ESP32 microcontroller records the CO_2 drawdown rate over time ($\Delta CO_2/\Delta t$). Using the stoichiometric ratio of photosynthesis (1 mol CO_2 absorbed = 1 mol O_2 released), the system calculates estimated O_2 production. The algorithm applies a correction factor (k = 0.85–0.95) derived from literature benchmarks (Chisti, 2007; Singh & Sharma, 2012) to account for light intensity variation, temperature effects, and algae health status. Output is displayed on the LCD and mobile app as "Estimated O_2 Production (L/day)."

4.4.2 Mathematical Formulation

$$O_2\_estimated\ (g) = (CO_2\_absorbed\_g \times (32/44)) \times k$$

where $CO_2\_absorbed\_g = (\Delta ppm \times Room\_Volume\_m^3 \times 1.98) / 1{,}000$; 32/44 is the molecular weight ratio of O_2 to CO_2; and k is the empirical correction factor (0.85–0.95), calibrated per production batch.

4.4.3 Validation Protocol

The algorithm will be validated against direct O_2 measurements during the 50-unit beta pilot (Q3–Q4 2026), in which a subset of units will temporarily retain a reference O_2 sensor purely for calibration purposes. Initial bench-scale lab tests show ±15% accuracy against reference measurement, which is judged sufficient for consumer-level monitoring and trend display, though not for laboratory-grade quantification. Final calibration data — including any refinement of the k correction factor by algae batch and seasonal light conditions — will be published in the Version 5.0 post-pilot revision.

Design rationale: this substitution removes a EGP 920 hardware line item per unit (11.7% of the prior raw BOM) while preserving the device's core value proposition — visible, trackable O_2 output — at an accuracy level appropriate for a consumer wellness device rather than a scientific instrument.

5. FINANCIAL MODEL AND FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

The financial model presented in this section was developed to assess the commercial feasibility of OxyGenHome under three adoption scenarios. The model is structured in two complementary formats: (1) a static annual model that provides a snapshot of profitability at a given sales volume, presented in Sections 5.1–5.6; and (2) a 36-month monthly operating model that captures pilot ramp-up, learning curve effects, working capital dynamics, and break-even timing, presented in Appendix C. All figures are denominated in Egyptian Pounds (EGP) at June 2026 price levels. The model is intended as a conditional feasibility framework, not a financial forecast; its outputs depend materially on the assumptions stated in Section 2.7, particularly the COGS reduction target.

5.1 Capital Expenditure (CAPEX)

Table 6. OxyGenHome Capital Expenditure — Baseline Scenario, June 2026

CAPEX Item	Amount (EGP)	Notes
Prototype development (10 units + lab testing)	180,000	Component testing, culture cycle optimisation
Patent registration — Egypt Patent Office	22,000	Utility patent filing, est. 6 months processing
Company registration + trade licence (GAFI)	18,000	Streamlined GAFI process, approx. 6 weeks
Workshop fit-out (100 m², Beni-Suef industrial zone)	35,000	Light manufacturing, basic equipment
Working capital buffer (3-month COGS + 35% safety margin)*	907,500	500 × 4,500 × 0.30 × 1.35
Total CAPEX	**1,162,500**	~USD 22,440 at 51.8

**Working capital buffer includes a 35% inventory safety margin to account for import lead times, supplier variability, and the risk of protracted COGS reduction timelines. This buffer is critical given that the baseline financial model depends on achieving EGP 4,500 COGS, which requires supply-chain negotiation not yet contracted at the time of this study.*

5.2 Annual Operating Expenditure (OPEX)

Table 7. OxyGenHome Annual OPEX — Baseline Scenario (500 Units/Year), Revised COGS Line

OPEX Item	Monthly (EGP)	Annual (EGP)	Notes
Workshop rent (100 m², Beni-Suef industrial zone)	12,000	144,000	Light industrial rate, Jun 2026
Salaries (2 engineers + 3 assemblers + 1 admin)	55,000	660,000	Per CAPMAS 2025 wage benchmarks
Raw materials / COGS (500 units × EGP 3,780)	157,500	1,890,000	Revised, optimised COGS achieved
Digital marketing (Meta Ads, Google, TikTok)	12,000	144,000	Eco-consumer segment targeting
Utilities (electricity, water, internet)	6,500	78,000	Post-January 2026 tariff hike rates
Logistics and last-mile delivery	8,000	96,000	Greater Cairo + Beni-Suef coverage

OPEX Item	Monthly (EGP)	Annual (EGP)	Notes
Customer support + warranty provisions	5,000	60,000	Algae culture support, 12-month warranty
Miscellaneous overhead	7,000	84,000	Insurance, accounting, contingency
Total Fixed OPEX (excl. COGS)	**105,500**	**1,266,000**	~USD 24,440

5.3 Revenue Projection

Table 8. OxyGenHome Annual Revenue Projection — Baseline Scenario (500 Units/Year)

Revenue Stream	Units/Customers	Price (EGP)	Annual Revenue (EGP)	Notes
Device sales	500	7,000	3,500,000	Primary
Nutrient solution subscriptions (60% attach rate)	300 × 4/yr	180	216,000	Year 1+
Algae culture replacement kits (30% attach rate)	150 kits/yr	250	37,500	Year 2+
Total Annual Revenue			**3,753,500**	~USD 72,462

Subscription attach rate of 60% is an assumption based on survey data indicating sustained consumer intent; actual conversion to recurring purchase will be validated through the beta programme. Kit revenue commences from Year 2, when the first cohort of devices reaches the 6-month culture replacement cycle.

5.4 Profitability Summary

Gross Profit = Revenue – COGS = 3,753,500 – 1,890,000 = EGP 1,863,500 | Gross Margin = 49.6%Net Profit = 3,753,500 – 1,890,000 – 1,266,000 = EGP 597,500 | Net Margin = 15.9%

The profitability summary below applies to the static annual model at three scenarios, incorporating the revised bill of materials following removal of the discrete O_2 sensor (Section 4.4, Table 4). The baseline scenario assumes the COGS optimisation target (EGP 3,780) has been fully achieved. At the raw bill-of-materials COGS of EGP 5,345 (Table 4), unit economics are materially thinner, as discussed in the break-even analysis below. The distinction between raw and optimised COGS therefore remains a structural condition on which the commercial case rests, though the margin of error has widened relative to the prior edition.

Table 9. Three-Scenario Financial Summary — Revised COGS, v4.0

Financial Indicator	Pessimistic (200 units)	Baseline (500 units)	Optimistic (2,000 units)
Device Price (EGP)	6,000	7,000	8,000
Optimised COGS/unit (EGP)	4,080	3,780	3,024
Unit Gross Margin (%)	32.0%	46.0%	62.2%
Total Revenue Gross Margin (%)	34.2%	50.0%	63.1%
Annual Revenue (EGP)	1,245,000	3,753,500	16,360,000
Total COGS (EGP)	816,000	1,890,000	6,048,000
Fixed OPEX (EGP)	1,266,000	1,266,000	1,500,000
Net Profit / (Loss) (EGP)	(837,000)	597,500	8,812,000
Net Profit Margin (%)	— (Loss)	15.9%	53.9%
Break-Even Volume (units/year)	Not reached	~385 units	~270 units
Payback Period	N/A	~2.1 years	~2 months

5.5 Break-Even Analysis

BEP (static) = Fixed OPEX ÷ (Unit Price – COGS) = 1,266,000 ÷ (7,000 – 3,780) = 393 units/yearBEP Revenue = 393 × 7,000 = EGP 2,751,000 | Raw COGS BEP: 1,266,000 ÷ (7,000 – 5,345) = 765 units/year

The break-even analysis reveals the project's primary structural vulnerability: commercial viability is contingent on reducing per-unit COGS from the raw bill-of-materials figure of EGP 5,345 to the target of EGP 3,780, a reduction of EGP 1,565 (29.3%). At the raw COGS, the unit contribution margin falls to EGP 1,655, requiring approximately 765 units per year to cover fixed operating costs. This is a materially less demanding threshold than the prior (pre-O_2-sensor-removal) edition, in which the equivalent raw-COGS break-even stood at 1,354 units/year — reflecting the structural improvement in unit economics delivered by the O_2 sensor removal.

The three primary levers for further COGS reduction remain: (1) bulk-import volume agreements for the MH-Z19B CO_2 sensor, currently the largest single cost item at EGP 1,380/unit (25.8% of the revised raw BOM); (2) development of a locally-sourced ESP32-compatible microcontroller in partnership with Cairo University's Electronics Department, eliminating import duty on the core processing unit; and (3) transition from 3D-printed ABS casing to injection-moulded production at 500+ unit volumes, which reduces per-unit casing cost from EGP 450 to approximately EGP 150. Together, these interventions are estimated to further reduce COGS toward the EGP 3,780 optimised target.

5.6 36-Month Phased Operating Model (Summary)

A 36-month monthly operating model was constructed to provide a more realistic representation of the project's commercialisation trajectory than a static annual snapshot. The full model, revised for the EGP 3,780 optimised COGS, is presented in Appendix C. The model incorporates phased unit sales ramp, recurring revenue streams beginning from month 7 (subscriptions) and month 7 (replacement kits, for units sold in months 1–6), fixed operating expenditure, variable marketing cost (4% of revenue), warranty provisions (2% of device revenue), and cumulative free cash flow tracking. Key parameters are summarised below.

Table 10. 36-Month Phased Operating Model — Summary, Revised

Phase	Months	Units/Month	Cumulative Units	Phase Purpose
Pilot	1–3	8	24	Beta validation, product refinement
Early Entry	4–6	15	69	Market feedback, channel testing
Validation	7–12	25	219	Unit economics stabilisation
Expansion 1	13–18	35	429	Channel build-out, repeat purchases begin
Expansion 2	19–24	45	699	Positive EBITDA emerging
Scale 1	25–30	55	1,029	Operating leverage improving
Scale 2	31–36	65	1,419	Mature operating profile
Total (36-Month Program)			**1,419**	First positive monthly cash flow: Month 17

The 36-month model indicates that the project does not achieve monthly EBITDA break-even until Month 19, when monthly unit sales reach 45 units and the subscription and kit revenue streams from earlier cohorts become material — an outcome consistent with, though modestly later than, the Month 15–16 improvement implied by the higher unit contribution margin (EGP 3,220) achieved after the O_2 sensor removal and COGS reduction. Cumulative free cash flow remains negative throughout the 36-month horizon on the baseline ramp (final cumulative position: approximately EGP (497,898)), suggesting that a second funding round or incubator bridge financing will still be required, though the funding gap is narrower than in the prior edition. The full monthly cash-flow table is provided in Appendix C. The model does not incorporate working capital dynamics in full detail; a more complete treatment is provided in the Excel workbook available in the data repository.

5.7 Sensitivity Analysis with Econometric Interpretation

Table 11. Sensitivity Analysis — Net Annual Profit Response to ±20% Variable Changes

Variable	−20% Change	Baseline	+20% Change	Impact Classification
Sales volume (units/year)	400: EGP 224,800	500: EGP 597,500	600: EGP 970,200	Very High — high operating leverage; the lower 385-unit BEP amplifies the benefit of volume above baseline
COGS per unit (EGP)	3,024: EGP 975,500	3,780: EGP 597,500	4,536: EGP 219,500	Very High — direct margin pass-through; remains the single most important lever
Selling price (EGP)	5,600: EGP (102,500) loss	7,000: EGP 597,500	8,400: EGP 1,297,500	High — meaningful downside if price is compressed by competitive response
USD/EGP rate (~41% of COGS imported)	41.4: EGP 752,500	51.8: EGP 597,500	62.2: EGP 442,500	Moderate — narrower swing than prior edition in absolute terms
Electricity tariff (EGP/kWh)	0.86: EGP 605,500	1.07: EGP 597,500	1.28: EGP 589,500	Negligible — 30W device consumption remains de minimis at scale

Sensitivity results confirm that COGS per unit and sales volume are the dominant value drivers, while electricity tariff is immaterial. The moderate FX sensitivity warrants attention given the 52-week USD/EGP trading range of 46.6–54.9 observed in 2026. The strategic response is localisation of imported components, particularly the CO_2 sensor and microcontroller, which together represent a substantial share of optimised COGS.

5.8 Unit Economics Summary

The unit economics framework provides a granular view of profitability at the individual device level, independent of fixed cost allocation. This is the most important metric for evaluating the commercial proposition before fixed overheads are considered.

Table 12. Unit Economics — Three-Scenario Comparison, Revised COGS

Unit Economics Metric	Pessimistic	Baseline	Optimistic
Selling price per unit (EGP)	6,000	7,000	8,000
COGS per unit — optimised (EGP)	4,080	3,780	3,024
Gross contribution per unit (EGP)	1,920	3,220	4,976
Unit gross margin (%)	32.0%	46.0%	62.2%
Variable marketing cost/unit (4% of revenue)	240	280	320
Warranty provision/unit (2% of price)	120	140	160
Net contribution after variable commercial costs (EGP)	1,560	2,800	4,496

The unit economics framework confirms that the device is financially viable at the unit level under all three scenarios. The pessimistic net unit contribution of EGP 1,560 per device is insufficient on its own to cover fixed OPEX at 200 units annually, but unit-level economics are positive across the board, meaning every additional unit sold above the pessimistic scenario improves the financial position. The baseline net contribution of EGP 2,800 per unit yields a fixed-cost contribution sufficient to cover OPEX at a materially lower volume than in the prior edition, consistent with the revised 385-unit static break-even point.

6. SWOT ANALYSIS, RISK MANAGEMENT, AND REGULATORY PATHWAY

This section synthesises the study's findings into a strategic framework for evaluating OxyGenHome's competitive position, internal constraints, and external risk environment, and — new in this revision — the regulatory pathway required for Egyptian market entry.

6.1 SWOT Analysis

Table 13. SWOT Analysis — Revised, July 2026

Strengths (S)	Weaknesses (W)	Opportunities (O)	Threats (T)
Only device in Egypt with CO_2 absorption + O_2 generation + organic fertiliser	Raw BOM COGS (EGP 5,345) still exceeds the EGP 3,780 optimised target — supply-chain optimisation remains a structural pre-condition	Egyptian appliances market: USD 2.72B (2025), CAGR 3.95%	EGP depreciation (52-week range 46.6–54.9 in 2026) raises imported COGS
Circular economy: zero waste, net positive carbon footprint	BEP requires ~385 units/year — an easier but still non-trivial Year 1 target without bridge funding	Expanding BNPL (Fawry EGP 653M, Q3 2024) lowers EGP 7,000 purchase barrier	Xiaomi at EGP 4,500 anchors mass-market price expectations
Ultra-low operating cost: EGP 0.77/day vs. EGP 2.50–4.50 HEPA alternatives	Biological system complexity requires consumer education on algae care	EEAA certification + Ministry of Environment endorsement pathways	Electricity tariff hikes raise workshop OPEX (device impact negligible)
Egypt-made: resonates with 61% of surveyed consumers	Imported electronics create currency exposure	MENA + EU export post domestic scale-up (EU Green Deal alignment)	Product imitation risk once IP visible — patent registration critical
ESP32 + AI/IoT proprietary monitoring app creates digital moat; computational O_2 estimation lowers hardware cost without losing the feature	No prior brand equity: significant upfront marketing investment required	Incubators (Fekra, TIEC, Flat6Labs): EGP 200K–500K non-dilutive grants	Consumer scepticism toward biotech home products requires awareness campaign

6.2 Risk Register and Mitigation Framework

The following risk register identifies the seven principal risks to the project's technical and commercial success. Risks are classified by likelihood and impact, with specific mitigation strategies assigned. The risk register should be reviewed and updated quarterly during the pilot phase.

Table 14. Risk Register and Mitigation Framework — Revised, July 2026

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Strategy and Residual Risk Note
COGS fails to reach EGP 3,780 target	High	High	Negotiate volume-pricing contracts for MH-Z19B sensor before production launch. Partner with Cairo University Electronics Department for ESP32-compatible local alternative. Introduce injection moulding for ABS casing at 500-unit threshold. Residual risk: if COGS remains above EGP 4,536, baseline scenario margin compresses sharply and pricing strategy requires revision.
Market adoption ramp slower than modelled	Medium	High	Pre-sell via 50-unit beta programme with monitored cohort. Deploy eco-influencer content marketing and YouTube educational campaigns before public launch. Offer 30-day satisfaction guarantee with full refund option. Integrate BNPL payment options (Fawry, B.TECH). Residual risk: shortfall below 30 units/month in the expansion phase

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Strategy and Residual Risk Note
			triggers cash burn.
Algae culture failure or contamination in user homes	Medium	High	Develop AI-guided mobile app with proactive anomaly detection using sensor data patterns. Maintain refrigerated starter culture reserve for replacement within warranty. Provide illustrated care manual and video tutorial at point of sale. Build first-year warranty covering one free culture replacement. Residual risk: user adherence to care protocols is uncontrollable; contamination rate estimated at 5–10% in Year 1.
EGP depreciation raises imported COGS	Medium	Medium	Build strategic component buffer inventory (2-month supply) to absorb short-term FX movements. Include quarterly price-revision clause in distributor and retail channel agreements. Prioritise local sensor sourcing roadmap. Natural partial hedge: all revenue is EGP-denominated. Residual risk: a >25% EGP depreciation event would require immediate product repricing.
Competitive response from established brands	Medium	Medium	Maintain defensible differentiation through CO_2 absorption capability (no HEPA competitor offers this). Build OxyGenHome Club loyalty ecosystem. Target the respiratory-vulnerable household segment where health outcome value exceeds price sensitivity. Register utility patent to create legal barrier to direct imitation. Residual risk: Xiaomi or a regional manufacturer could introduce a competing bioreactor product within 24–36 months.
Further electricity tariff increases beyond January 2026	High	Low	Sensitivity analysis confirms system-wide net profit impact of well under EGP 20,000 per 20% tariff increase. The device's 30W operating profile creates negligible exposure. Each tariff increase marginally strengthens the operating cost narrative relative to HEPA purifiers with similar energy profiles.
IP imitation once patent application is public	Low–Medium	Medium	Utility patent filed at Egypt Patent Office (EGP 22,000 allocated in CAPEX), now covering the computational O_2 estimation method. Develop software moat through proprietary AI monitoring and app ecosystem. Establish brand equity and first-mover premium through early market presence. Residual risk: Egyptian patent enforcement is imperfect; copycat products from China could appear within 12–18 months at lower price points.

6.3 Regulatory and Compliance Pathway for Egypt

The commercialisation of OxyGenHome within the Egyptian market requires navigation of a structured regulatory and compliance pathway. This section identifies the key governmental approvals and certifications required, with specific reference to environmental safety, consumer health, and product standards.

6.3.1 Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA) Certification

The EEAA (جهاز شؤون البيئة المصري) is the primary regulatory authority responsible for environmental compliance in Egypt. For a device that processes air and incorporates biological materials, the following approvals are required:

- Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) – a simplified assessment confirming that the device does not emit harmful substances and that spent algae biomass disposal is environmentally safe.
- Green Product Certification – listing in the National Green Products Directory, which provides marketing credibility and facilitates B2B sales to government and educational institutions.

Application Process: (1) Submit technical specifications and material safety data sheets to EEAA. (2) Provide lab test results confirming no hazardous emissions. (3) Obtain EEAA certificate (estimated processing time: 4–8 weeks). (4) Renewal required annually.

6.3.2 Consumer Safety and Product Standards

OxyGenHome is classified as an electrical appliance with biological components. The following standards apply:

• Egyptian Standards (ES) – compliance with ES 7545 (electrical safety) and ES 7550 (indoor air quality devices).

• CE Marking (EU) – if pursuing export, CE compliance is required; can be obtained through certified testing laboratories in Egypt (e.g., National Institute for Standards).

• Food and Drug Authority (EDA) – only applicable if the device is marketed as a medical device; OxyGenHome is NOT a medical device and does not require EDA approval.

6.3.3 Import and Customs Clearance

For imported electronic components (ESP32, MH-Z19B sensor, DHT22):

• Import permit from the General Organization for Export and Import Control (GOEIC).

• 15% import duty applied (already included in BOM calculations).

• Certificate of origin and commercial invoice required for each shipment.

6.3.4 Biological Material Handling

Since OxyGenHome uses live Chlorella vulgaris, the following apply:

• Ministry of Agriculture approval – required for the propagation of non-native species (Chlorella vulgaris is non-invasive and already present in Egypt, reducing regulatory burden).

• Biosafety clearance from the National Biosafety Committee (NBC) – required for any biological agent used in consumer products; processing time approximately 4–6 weeks.

6.3.5 Summary of Regulatory Steps

Step	Authority	Timeline	Estimated Cost (EGP)
EEAA EIA assessment	EEAA	4–6 weeks	5,000
EEAA Green Product Certification	EEAA	4–8 weeks	3,000
ES 7545 / 7550 compliance	NIS / EOS	6–8 weeks	10,000
GOEIC import permit	GOEIC	2 weeks	2,000
Biosafety clearance	NBC	4–6 weeks	3,000
Product liability insurance	Private insurer	2 weeks	5,000
Total Regulatory Cost		**6–10 weeks (parallel processing)**	**28,000**

6.3.6 University and Research Partnerships for Sustainable Algae Supply

To ensure a sustainable, cost-effective, and quality-controlled supply of Chlorella vulgaris starter cultures and BG-11 nutrient solutions, OxyGenHome should establish formal collaboration agreements with Egyptian research institutions:

• Cairo University – Faculty of Science (Microbiology Department): Potential partner for Chlorella vulgaris maintenance, propagation, and quality certification.

• Ain Shams University – Faculty of Science (Botany Department): Access to high-quality microalgae strains and research-grade nutrient media.

• National Water Research Centre (NWRC) / Agricultural Research Centre (ARC): Government-affiliated bodies that can provide certified microalgae cultures at subsidised rates, technical support for nutrient

formulation and optimised growth conditions, and quality assurance and laboratory validation (calibration-grade testing).
Proposed Partnership Model: MoU (Memorandum of Understanding) with each institution for culture supply and research collaboration; discounted pricing (estimated at 30–40% below market rates) for Chlorella starter cultures and BG-11 nutrients; joint authorship on future research publications (strengthening scientific credibility).

Resource	Market Price (EGP)	Subsidised Price (EGP)	Savings (%)
Chlorella vulgaris starter	580	350	40%
BG-11 nutrient solution (3 mo.)	175	110	37%
Testing and calibration	1,200	600	50%

Protocol Implementation: Formal MoU to be signed before beta pilot (Q3 2026). This secures the supply chain, reduces COGS, and aligns with Egyptian government initiatives to support university-industry collaboration.

6.3.7 Legal Structure and Intellectual Property

• Legal Entity: To be registered as a "Limited Liability Company (LLC)" with the General Authority for Investment (GAFI) – streamlined process, approx. 6 weeks, cost: 18,000 EGP (already allocated in CAPEX).
• Patent: Utility patent application to be filed with the Egyptian Patent Office (cost: 22,000 EGP allocated in CAPEX). The patent covers the integrated system (PBR + AI + IoT + fertiliser conversion + computational O_2 estimation method). International PCT filing to be considered at Year 2.
• Trademark: OxyGenHome brand and logo to be registered with the Egyptian Trademark Office (estimated cost: 5,000 EGP).

6.3.8 Insurance and Liability

• Product liability insurance to cover consumer use in homes (estimated annual premium: 5,000 EGP).
• Professional indemnity for consultants and engineers (estimated: 3,000 EGP/year).
Summary: Total regulatory and compliance cost is estimated at approximately 40,000–50,000 EGP (including insurance). This is a critical investment to ensure safe, legal, and credible market entry. The timeline (12–20 weeks) should be factored into the 36-month operating model (Appendix C).

7. STUDY LIMITATIONS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Study Limitations and Future Validation Requirements

This study is subject to a set of limitations that readers should consider when interpreting its findings. First, all technical performance figures — CO_2 absorption rate, O_2 generation, biomass yield — are derived from peer-reviewed laboratory benchmarks (Chisti, 2007; Han et al., 2023; Singh & Sharma, 2012) and preliminary prototype observations. They have not been validated under controlled, repeatable conditions with a formal experimental protocol, and should therefore be treated as provisional estimates pending the planned beta programme. In this revised edition, O_2 output specifically relies on a computational estimation algorithm (Section 4.4) whose ±15% accuracy has only been established in bench-scale tests and remains to be validated in the field. Second, the consumer survey employed a purposive sampling approach and may overrepresent environmentally-conscious, higher-income urban households relative to the broader Egyptian population. Third, the financial model remains sensitive to the COGS optimisation assumption; the baseline commercial case is materially stronger at the raw BOM COGS of EGP 5,345 than in the prior edition, but full viability still depends on reaching the EGP 3,780 optimised target. Fourth, the 36-month operating model does not incorporate working capital financing costs, potential warranty return rates, or product defect write-offs, all of which would reduce free cash flow in practice. Fifth, the newly added regulatory pathway (Section 6.3) is based on publicly available procedural information and has not yet been confirmed through direct engagement with EEAA, NBC, or GOEIC; actual timelines and costs may vary.
Future research should address these limitations through: (a) a controlled, instrumented 50-unit beta trial with pre-registered measurement protocol, including validation of the computational O_2 estimation algorithm against reference sensors; (b) a probability-weighted demand model based on a representative household survey; (c) formal supplier quotations for bulk-import agreements to validate the COGS reduction pathway; and (d) direct engagement with EEAA, NBC, and GOEIC to confirm the regulatory pathway timeline and cost. These steps

would materially strengthen the evidentiary basis for the commercial case and are a prerequisite for journal-quality publication of the results as empirical findings rather than feasibility estimates.

7.2 Key Findings

Subject to the limitations described above, this study's findings indicate that OxyGenHome represents a technically plausible and commercially strengthened concept for the Egyptian residential indoor air quality market, under the following conditions:

• Technical Plausibility: Prototype-level estimates indicate that a 10–15-litre Chlorella vulgaris photobioreactor assembled from Egyptian-market components may achieve CO_2 absorption in the range of 40–80 g/day and O_2 production of 30–60 L/day, now estimated computationally (Section 4.4) rather than directly sensed, based on established laboratory benchmarks. At EGP 0.77/day operating cost, the device offers a low-energy alternative to all currently available residential IAQ solutions in Egypt.

• Improved Commercial Viability: The baseline scenario (500 units/year, EGP 7,000 price, EGP 3,780 optimised COGS) yields an estimated total revenue gross margin of 50.0% and net profit of EGP 597,500 per year, with break-even at approximately 385 units annually and payback in approximately 2.1 years — a substantial improvement over the prior edition's EGP 237,500 net profit, 506-unit break-even, and 4.9-year payback. These outcomes remain conditional on achieving the COGS reduction target.

• Differentiated Market Position: OxyGenHome occupies a distinct competitive position in the Egyptian market as the only concept offering simultaneous CO_2 absorption, O_2 generation, organic fertiliser production, and AI-enabled monitoring. Its 3-year total cost of ownership (EGP 9,160) is lower than Dyson Pure Cool (EGP 18,500+) and Philips AC2889 (EGP 11,600), while delivering environmental and functional benefits that no HEPA-based competitor provides.

• Market Demand Indicators: Preliminary consumer survey data (N = 500, June 2026) indicate a mean willingness to pay of EGP 6,850 for a device matching OxyGenHome's functional description, with 68% of respondents reporting IAQ-related symptoms in the preceding 30 days.

• Regulatory Pathway Defined: The addition of Section 6.3 establishes a structured, costed (approximately EGP 40,000–50,000) and timed (approximately 12–20 weeks) regulatory pathway covering EEAA certification, product safety standards, import clearance, biosafety, legal structure, and IP, reducing a previously unaddressed source of commercialisation risk.

7.3 Strategic Recommendations

Table 15. Strategic Recommendations — OxyGenHome, July 2026 (v4.0)

#	Recommendation	Rationale and Implementation Detail
1	Achieve EGP 3,780 COGS — PRIORITY ACTION	This remains the single most important action before commercial launch, though the structural gap is narrower than before. Specific actions: (a) negotiate volume-pricing MoU with an MH-Z19B distributor; (b) initiate ESP32-compatible local sourcing feasibility study with Cairo University Electronics Department by Q4 2026; (c) obtain injection-moulding quotations for ABS casing at 500 units. Target: EGP 3,780 COGS before Month 7 of operations.
2	Execute 50-unit controlled beta pilot (Q3 2026)	Recruit 50 households in Greater Cairo and Beni-Suef for a six-month monitored trial. Pre-register the measurement protocol and validate the computational O_2 estimation algorithm against reference sensors on a subset of units. Collect CO_2/O_2 data, user satisfaction scores, contamination incidence, and fertiliser adoption rates. This dataset is the primary input for the Version 5.0 publication.
3	Apply to incubator and grant programmes	Submit applications to Fekra Business Incubator, TIEC StartIT, and Flat6Labs Cairo by Q3 2026. These programmes offer non-dilutive grants of EGP 200,000–500,000 alongside mentorship, legal support, and investor introductions, to bridge the narrower but still-negative cumulative cash-flow period identified in Appendix C.
4	Build microalgae supply partnerships with Egyptian universities	Formalise the MoUs outlined in Section 6.3.6 with Cairo University and Ain Shams University for Chlorella vulgaris culture supply and co-authored experimental validation, securing subsidised pricing and scientific credibility.

#	Recommendation	Rationale and Implementation Detail
5	Pursue EEAA green product certification and complete the Section 6.3 regulatory pathway	Initiate all regulatory workstreams (EEAA, ES 7545/7550, GOEIC, NBC, GAFI, patent, trademark, insurance) at the start of the pilot phase, sequenced per Appendix E, to avoid delaying commercial launch.
6	Prepare MENA and EU export pipeline	Once domestic manufacturing reaches 1,000 units/year and beta validation data are published, commission export market assessment for UAE, Saudi Arabia, and Germany/Netherlands, leveraging CE-marking groundwork completed under Section 6.3.2.

Concluding Assessment: The evidence assembled in this study indicates that OxyGenHome addresses a documented and material indoor air quality problem in the Egyptian urban market, with a technically coherent proposed solution that has preliminary support in the peer-reviewed literature. The removal of the discrete O_2 sensor and its replacement with a computational estimation algorithm has materially strengthened the commercial case — raising net margin from 6.3% to 15.9% and reducing payback from 4.9 years to approximately 2.1 years — while the newly added regulatory and compliance pathway (Section 6.3) closes a previously unaddressed gap in the commercialisation roadmap. These conditions are achievable but not guaranteed, and the study explicitly frames its findings as preliminary feasibility estimates rather than validated conclusions. The next critical milestone is the execution of a pre-registered, instrumented 50-unit beta trial, which will convert this feasibility framework into empirically grounded research findings suitable for submission to a peer-reviewed sustainability or applied engineering journal.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: No external funding received. This study was conducted as part of the OxyGenHome venture development programme at Beni-Suef University, Egypt. No funding body had any role in study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or the decision to publish.

Conflict of Interest: The corresponding author is the founder and CEO of OxyGenHome. This potential conflict of interest is declared in accordance with standard academic disclosure requirements. All financial projections are clearly identified as scenario-based estimates under stated assumptions, and the study explicitly acknowledges the conditional nature of its commercial viability findings.

Data Availability Statement: Survey instruments, the raw financial model (Excel workbook with 36-month operating model), and field CO_2 sensor data will be deposited in the Open Science Framework (OSF) repository at https://osf.io/[DOI pending] upon completion of the 50-unit beta trial. Interim data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Compliance Statement: The consumer survey was administered with informed verbal consent from all participants. No personally identifiable information was collected or retained. Field CO_2 measurements were conducted with explicit occupant consent and involved no clinical intervention, biological sample collection, or sensitive personal data. The study does not involve human subjects research requiring formal institutional review board oversight under Egyptian research ethics regulations.

Author Contributions: Karim Hafez Ahmed: conceptualisation, engineering design, market research design and administration, financial modelling, SWOT analysis, risk framework, formal analysis, writing (original draft, v2.0 revision, v3.0 academic revision, v4.0 comprehensive revision).

Version History:
v1.0 initial draft (June 2026) — basic feasibility model.
v2.0 revision (June 2026) — corrected BEP (398 → 506 units, baseline); corrected optimistic BEP (260 → 341 units); unified financial figures across abstract and body; added SWOT analysis (Table 11); expanded sensitivity analysis with econometric interpretation; added Appendix C glossary; completed APA 7th Edition references.
v3.0 academic revision (June 2026) — softened novelty claims throughout; added structured literature positioning in Introduction (Section 1.1); rewrote methodology with full sensor calibration protocol (Section 2.4); separated measured, benchmark-derived, and assumed data; added explicit limitations section (Section 7.1); restructured financial model with 36-month phased operating model (Section 5.6, Appendix C); added unit economics table (Table 12); upgraded risk register with residual risk notes (Table 14); revised abstract to academic register; updated all Tables to v3.0 numbering; added Appendix C (36-month model) and expanded Appendix D (full glossary).

v4.0 (July 2026) — this comprehensive revision: removed the discrete O_2 sensor and introduced the computational O_2 estimation algorithm (Section 4.4); revised the bill of materials (Table 4) and recalculated all COGS-dependent tables (Tables 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, A1); renumbered the SWOT table (11→13), risk register (12→14), and strategic recommendations (13→15); split the former Appendix C into three separate appendices — 36-month operating model (C), glossary (D), and regulatory pathway (E); and added the new Section 6.3 Regulatory and Compliance Pathway for Egypt.

REFERENCES

Bharathiraja, B., Chakravarthy, M., Kumar, R. R., Yogendran, D., Yuvaraj, D., Jayamuthunagai, J., & Praveen Kumar, R. (2015). Biodiesel production using chemical and biological methods: A review of process, catalyst, reactor, kinetics and thermodynamics. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 46, 265–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.02.054

Boonbangkeng, D., Sriwongsa, T., & Treesubuntorn, C. (2026). Algae-based air purifier: Tackling CO_2, PM2.5, and TVOCs to improve indoor air quality. Building and Environment, 301, 114759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2026.114759

Chisti, Y. (2007). Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnology Advances, 25(3), 294–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001

da Rosa, G. M., Moraes, L., Cardias, B. B., de Souza, M. R. A. Z., & Costa, J. A. V. (2015). Chemical absorption and CO_2 biofixation via the cultivation of Spirulina in semicontinuous mode with nutrient recycle. Bioresource Technology, 192, 321–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.020

Dalay, L., & Aytas, G. (2024). Improvement of indoor air quality through CO_2 capturing algae-integrated architecture. Proceedings of the World Congress on New Technologies, 10, 112–119. https://doi.org/10.11159/nvmf24.113

Fayad, M. A., Al-Ogaidi, B. R., Abood, M. K., & Al-Salihi, H. A. (2022). Influence of post-injection strategies and CeO_2 nanoparticles additives in the C30D blends and diesel on engine performance, NOX emissions, and PM characteristics in diesel engine. Particulate Science and Technology, 40, 824–837. https://doi.org/10.1080/02726351.2021.2019158

Han, M., Park, J., Kim, I., & Yi, H. (2023). A microalgae photobioreactor system for indoor air remediation: Empirical examination of the CO_2 absorption performance of Spirulina maxima in a $NaHCO_3$-reduced medium. Applied Sciences, 13(24), Article 12991. https://doi.org/10.3390/app132412991

Iglina, T., Iglin, P., & Pashchenko, D. (2022). Industrial CO_2 capture by algae: A review and recent advances. Sustainability, 14(7), Article 3801. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14073801

Kumar, K., Mishra, S. K., Shrivastav, A., Park, M. S., & Yang, J. W. (2015). Recent trends in the mass cultivation of algae in raceway ponds. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 51, 875–885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.06.033

Kumar, P., Arora, K., Chanana, I., Kulshreshtha, S., Thakur, V., & Choi, K. Y. (2023). Comparative study on conventional and microalgae-based air purifiers: Paving the way for sustainable green spaces. Journal of Cleaner Production, 428, Article 139378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139378

Mata, T. M., Martins, A. A., Calheiros, C. S. C., Villanueva, F., Alonso-Cuevilla, N. P., Gabriel, M. F., & Silva, G. V. (2022). Indoor air quality: A review of cleaning technologies. Environments, 9(9), Article 118. https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9090118

Miranda, A. M., Hernandez-Tenorio, F., Ocampo, D., Vargas, G. J., & Sáez, A. A. (2022). Trends on CO_2 capture with microalgae: A bibliometric analysis. Molecules, 27(15), Article 4669. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27154669

Novoveska, L., Nielsen, S. L., Eroldogan, O. T., Haznedaroglu, B. Z., Rinkevich, B., Fazi, S., Robbens, J., Vasquez, M., & Einarsson, H. (2023). Overview and challenges of large-scale cultivation of photosynthetic microalgae and cyanobacteria. Marine Drugs, 21(8), Article 445. https://doi.org/10.3390/md21080445

Singh, J., & Sharma, Y. C. (2012). A review on the production of biodiesel from microalgae. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 1(3), 226–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2012.10.003

Sudhakar, K., Suresh, S., & Premalatha, M. (2011). An overview of CO_2 mitigation using algae cultivation technology. International Journal of Chemical Research, 3(3), 110–117.

Uguz, S., Anderson, G., Yang, X., Simsek, E., Osabutey, A., Yilmaz, M., & Min, K. (2024). Photobioreactor systems for mitigating ammonia and carbon dioxide from a broiler house. Environmental Technology, 45(27), 5899–5911. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2024.2311082

Yaman, Y., Tokuç, A., Apdik, S. N., Deniz, İ., Ayol, A., Tuygun, G. T., ... & Ezan, M. A. (2026). Beyond filters: Evaluating biotechnological indoor air purification with microalgae systems. E3S Web of Conferences, 716, Article 01034. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202671601034

Yewale, P., Wagle, N., Lenka, S., Bannigol, P., Junnarkar, M., Prakash, D., Mandal, A., Stigh, C., Sahasrabudhe, T., & Vannalwar, T. (2022). Studies on Biosmotrap: A multipurpose biological air purifier to minimize indoor and outdoor air pollution. Journal of Cleaner Production, 357, Article 132001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132001

Africa Oil & Gas Report. (2025, December 2). Egypt to hike electricity tariff in early 2026. https://africaoilgasreport.com/2025/12/power-deficit/egypt-to-hike-electricity-tariff-in-early-2026/

CAPMAS. (2025). Annual statistical yearbook: Household income, expenditure, and consumption survey 2024–25. Central Agency for Public Mobilisation and Statistics.

EgyptERA. (2025, September). Residential electricity tariff schedule. Electric Utility and Consumer Protection Regulatory Agency. https://globalpetrolprices.com/Egypt/electricity_prices/

Egyptian Streets. (2026, January 12). Why are electricity bills soaring in Egypt? https://egyptianstreets.com/2026/01/12/why-are-electricity-bills-soaring-in-egypt/

Grand View Research. (2024). Egypt household appliances market: Size, share and trends analysis report, 2025–2030. https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/egypt-household-appliances-market-report

IQAir. (2025). 2024 world air quality report. IQAir Group. https://www.iqair.com/world-most-polluted-countries

IQAir. (2025, September 6). Cairo among the most polluted cities in the world. https://www.iqair.com/bh/newsroom/cairo-among-the-most-polluted-cities-in-the-world-9-6-2025

Mann+Hummel. (2021). Air filter life and environmental impact assessment. Mann+Hummel Group.

Ministry of Environment, Egypt. (2023). State of the environment report, Egypt 2022. Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA).

Mordor Intelligence. (2026, April). Egypt major home appliances market: Size, trends and forecasts 2026–2031. https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/egypt-major-home-appliances-market

Mordor Intelligence. (2026). Egypt e-commerce market: Growth, trends and forecasts. https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/egypt-ecommerce-market

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2023). The impact of indoor air quality on public health. https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq

World Bank. (2025). Egypt economic monitor: Navigating reform. World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2026, May 4). Towards better air quality in Egypt. World Bank Blogs. https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/arabvoices/towards-better-air-quality-in-egypt

World Health Organisation. (2022). Ambient (outdoor) air pollution. WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines. WHO Press.

Xe Currency Converter. (2026, June 9). USD/EGP historical exchange rate data. https://www.xe.com/currencyconverter/convert/?From=USD&To=EGP

ASHRAE. (2022). Standard 62.1-2022: Ventilation and acceptable indoor air quality. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2023). Completing the picture: How the circular economy tackles climate change (Updated ed.). Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

Klepeis, N., Nelson, W., Ott, W., Robinson, J., Tsang, A., Switzer, P., Behar, J., Hern, S., & Engelmann, W. (2001). The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): A resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology, 11, 231–252. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jea.7500165

OECD. (2008). Handbook on constructing composite indicators: Methodology and user guide. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264043466-en

Stigler, G. J. (1961). The economics of information. Journal of Political Economy, 69(3), 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1086/258464